



City of Lindsay Basic Financial Statements For Year Ended June 30, 2018

City of Lindsay Basic Financial Statements For the year ended June 30, 2018

Table of Contents

| INTRODUCTORY SECTION | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Letter of Transmittal | iii |
| City of Lindsay Department Organization | V |
| FINANCIAL SECTION | |
| Independent Auditor's Report | 1 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis | 5 |
| Basic Financial Statements: | |
| Government-Wide Financial Statements: | |
| Statement of Net Position | |
| Statement of Activities | |
| Fund Financial Statements: | |
| Governmental Fund Financial Statements: | |
| Balance Sheet | 22 |
| Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet | |
| to the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position | |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances | |
| Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, | |
| Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances to the Government-Wide | |
| Statement of Activities | |
| Proprietary Fund Financial Statements: | |
| Statement of Net Position | |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position | |
| Statement of Cash Flows | |

City of Lindsay Basic Financial Statements For the year ended June 30, 2018

Table of Contents

| FINANCIAL SECTION, Continued | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements: | |
| Statement of Fiduciary Net Position | 37 |
| Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position | |
| Notes to Basic Financial Statements | 35 |
| Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited): | |
| Budget to Actuals: | |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and | |
| Changes in Fund Balance – General Fund | 81 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and | |
| Changes in Fund Balance – Local Transportation Fund | 82 |
| Defined Benefit Pension Plan: | |
| Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the | |
| Net Pension Liability – Last 10 Years | 83 |
| Schedule of Contributions - Last 10 Years | 83 |
| Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB): | |
| Schedule of Changes in the City's Net OPEB Liability and | |
| Related Ratios – Last 10 Years | 84 |
| Supplementary Information: | |
| Non-Major Governmental Funds: | |
| Combining Balance Sheet | 87 |
| Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and | |
| Changes in Fund Balances | 88 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards | 89 |



April 17, 2019

Honorable Mayor Kimball, City Council Members and City Manager Zigler

City of Lindsay, California

The comprehensive annual financial report of the City of Lindsay (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2018, is hereby submitted in accordance with Section 3.15 of the City Charter and California state law. The ordinance requires the City issue a report on its financial position and activity annually. An independent firm of certified public accountants must audit this report annually. Pursuant to these requirements, we hereby issue this annual financial report of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the City. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management of the City employs a comprehensive internal control framework to protect the City's assets from loss, theft, or misuse and to compile sufficient, reliable information for the preparation of the City's financial statements in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Because the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, the City's comprehensive framework of internal controls provides reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance the financial statements are free from material misstatement. We assert, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

The City's financial statements have been audited by Badawi & Associates, a licensed certified public accountant firm. The goal of the independent audit is to provide reasonable assurance the financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, are free of material misstatements. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor found the City's financial statements for fiscal year ended June 30, 2018 to present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

The City of Lindsay did not have any major federal projects or programs that met the \$750,000 threshold to require a separate Single Audit report per the Federal Single Audit Act of 1984 and Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance); therefore, the City is exempt from that reporting requirement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. Audit findings previously denoted in that report will be included as a supplementary section, entitled Summary Schedule of Findings and Responses, that can be found at the end of this report.

Management has provided a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompanythe basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This letter of

transmittal is designed to complement the MD&A and should be read inconjunction with it. The City's MD&A can be found immediately following the report of theindependent auditors.

Profile of the Government

The City of Lindsay incorporated in 1910, as a general law city of the State of California, and reclassified to a Charter City January 8, 1996, filed with the State of California in April 1996. Lindsay is in the middle of the state in the Central San Joaquin Valley. The Central Valley is a national and world leader in the agricultural industry, with dairy, citrus and deciduous crops the primary commodity around the Lindsay area. The City of Lindsay currently occupies an incorporated area of 2.41 square miles with an urban development boundary of 3.9 square miles and serves a population of 13,303 (2017) an increase of 13.1% since 2010.

The City of Lindsay operates under the council manager form of government. Policymaking and legislative authority are vested in a governing council consisting of the mayor and four other members. The council is elected on a non-partisan basis. Council members serve four-year staggered terms, with two council members elected in one election and three elected in another election, separated by two years. The mayor is selected from among the council members, by the council members, and serves a two-year term. All five council members of the governing board are elected at large. The council is responsible, among other things, for passing ordinances, adopting the budget, representing the City on other governmental committees, and hiring the City's manager and attorney. The city manager is responsible for carrying out policies and ordinances of the governing the heads of the various departments.

The City of Lindsay provides a full range of services, including general administration, human resources, treasury, finance, and accounting; risk management; police and fire protection, animal control, and code enforcement; the construction, maintenance, and cleaning of streets and other infrastructure; planning, zoning, building inspection, and development services; and community services including city parks, a skate park, and adult and youth recreational activities. The Wellness & Aquatic Center, water, sewer, and wastewater treatment and collection, and solid waste disposal services are provided through enterprise funds; disposal and recycling services are contracted with Mid Valley Disposal. Transit services are contracted with the Tulare County Transit Authority, the City maintains the bus stop shelters. It also administers and/or oversees grant programs and Curb & Gutter.

Acknowledgements

The preparation of this document could not have been accomplished without the dedicated services of the entire staff of the Finance Department and staff members in the City Services department. I also express appreciation to the Mayor, City Council, and the City Manager for their support in planning and conducting the financial operations of the City in a responsible, thoughtful manner. A special thank you to Badawi & Associates for their professional, exceptional work.

Respectfully, , Director of Finance

Lindsay Residents



Councilmembers Cortes, Watson and Velasquez Mayor Pro Tem Salinas

Mayor Kimball

Executive

Department

Director

Services

 Police Fire

City Attorney Zamora

City Manager Zigler

Camarena

Hughes

City Services

Public Safety

Administration

Capital Projects

Engineering

Water

Code Enforcement

v

Animal Control

Sewer

Storm Drain

Refuse Mgmt.

Building Dept.

Streets

Alleys

Parks

City Facilities

 Human Resources Planning Zigler Wellness Center Youth Programs Senior Services Sports Complex Aquatic Center Recreation Davis Investments Debt Mgmt. Accounting City Clerk Receipts Finance Policies Budget Finance Payroll Harmon

This page intentionally left blank



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Lindsay Lindsay, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the businesstype activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Lindsay, California (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Lindsay Lindsay, California Page 2

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

Regarding Going Concern

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the City will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements, the City has a significant deficit fund balance in its General Fund. In addition, the City continues to experience operating losses in the General Fund, McDermont Sports Complex Fund, and Wellness Center Fund, and is having difficulties maintaining operating cash balances and paying for City expenditures. These conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters are described in Note 13. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Regarding Violations of Grant Requirements

As discussed in Note 16 to the financial statements, the City, in previous years, has expended certain federal and State grant funds in a manner that may have violated certain of the restrictive provisions of the related grants. These matters which occurred in previous years remain unresolved and the possible outcome of these matters, which have been reported to appropriate federal officials, cannot presently be determined. Accordingly, no provision for any liability that may result has been made in the financial statements for possible federal claims for refunds of those grant monies.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, pension information, other postemployment benefit information, and budgetary comparison information on pages 5-12 and 81-84 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information or provide any assurance.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Lindsay Lindsay, California Page 3

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The introductory section and combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements on pages 87-88, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements on pages 87-88 are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements on pages 87-88 are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Change in Accounting Principle

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2018 the City adopted new accounting guidance, *GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 17, 2019, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Badamie & Associat

Badawi and Associates Certified Public Accountants Oakland, California April 17, 2019

This page intentionally left blank

CITY OF LINDSAY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2018

As management of the City of Lindsay (the City), we offer readers of the City's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. We encourage readers to consider the information in the Transmittal Letter and Management's Discussion & Analysis as they review the financial statements. The narratives give valuable context and insightful analysis. All amounts, unless otherwise indicated, are expressed in thousands of dollars (\$000's). Unless noted otherwise, fiscal year refers to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

BACKGROUND

Understanding some of Lindsay's story over the last 20 years may help the reader give context to the information in this report. In the mid-to-late 2000's, the City enjoyed a seemingly endless flow of grant awards. The grants were for housing, community development, economic development and freeze relief. Lindsay was the envy of so many other communities. Management worked to execute their grand, innovative plans to enrich and expand the quality of life in the community while the economy was red hot and the City had more grant money than it could manage.

The City provided hundreds of grant-funded home loans at the peak of the housing boom, built low-tomoderate housing subdivisions, created the McDermont Field House (an 185,000 square foot athletic and entertainment center) out of an old packing plant, built the Wellness & Aquatic Center, and renovated its downtown. City staff were routinely invited to share Lindsay's secret with leaders of other communities in hopes of helping others to lift their own economies too.

Unfortunately, the growth did not last. The housing bubble popped, the State took away redevelopment funding, and grant money stopped flowing. The City could not maintain what it had built, let alone sustain ongoing growth. Within a few short years, Lindsay came to an economic standstill without the money it needed to complete or maintain what it had started. Compounding the situation were turnover in administration, reduction in staffing, combining of departments, shrinking revenues, escalating costs and a series of audits by funding agencies. The City was not able to maintain its general operations or operations at McDermont or the Wellness & Aquatic Center without borrowing from other funds.

In February 2017, City Council declared a fiscal emergency, placed a successful 1% transactions and use tax measure on the June 2017 special election ballot, and initiated a recovery plan. Ever since then, the City has been taking meaningful steps to turn the City around. Part of the process has been to classify borrowed funds as advances or transfers based on the likelihood the City can repay them. The City has outsourced the management and operations of McDermont Field House and dramatically reduced its staffing levels.

The turnaround process will take years, if not decades. However, the City is now operating with a clear view of where it is and what obstacles stand between it and fiscal sustainability. The most significant obstacles remain as a negative fund balance in the general fund (which means the liabilities in the general fund are greater than the general fund's assets), escalating CalPERS pension costs, consequences of borrowing funds from other government agencies without a means to repay, negative impacts from vacating (which means forgiving) money owed to the City's utilities, and a complete absence of cash reserves. The City is functionally bankrupt meaning it can meet its current year obligations, but it does not have enough resources to build reserves or to repay what it borrowed. To maintain the City's progress in the right direction, staff have implemented and enhanced its internal controls to allow it to avoid a repeat of the past.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FUNCTIONALITY

This discussion and analyses are intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements include:

| 1. | Government-wide financial statements | high-level view |
|----|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2. | Fund financial statements | summary view |
| 3. | Notes to the financial statements | detailed view |

This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements provide the broadest view of the City's financial condition.

The **statement of net position** presents information for the City's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources, with the difference between them reported as net position at a specific point in time. The reader can think of the statement of net position as a snapshot of the City on the last day of the fiscal year. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The **statement of activities** presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the fiscal year. The reader may think of the statement of activities as the report that shows what happened between last year's statement of net position (a snapshot in time) and this year's statement of net position (another snapshot in time). The statement of activities shows changes in net position based on when events (a revenue or an expenditure) happened rather than reporting based solely on when the related cash transaction finishes. Sometimes the related cash transaction happens and is recorded at the same time as the revenue or expenditure, yet other times it happens afterward. Consequently, revenues and expenditures are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned-but-unused vacation leave).

The government-wide financial statements distinguish functions principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions supported in full or in part by cost recoveries known as user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City include general administration, public safety, parks and recreation, public works, streets, transportation, and community development. The business-type activities of the City include water, sewer, and refuse services, as well as the Lindsay Wellness & Aquatic Center and McDermont Field House Sports Complex. The Wellness & Aquatic Center and McDermont provide fee-based entertainment, facility rental, and recreational events and activities for the community and other Central Valley residents.

The government-wide financial statements have changed substantially from previous years due to the dissolution of the Lindsay Redevelopment Agency (LRA); all financial information relevant to the former LRA is now accounted for, as an integral part of these financial statements, in the Private-Purpose Trust Fund, a fiduciary fund established to manage the assets and debt of the former agency. The Water, Sewer, Refuse, Wellness Center, and McDermont Sports Complex Departments function for all practical purposes as departments of the City, and therefore have been included as an integral part of the primary government.

Fund financial statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts used to maintain control over specific resources segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The City's funds can be divided into three categories:

- Governmental funds,
- Proprietary funds, and
- Fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the City's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains eight individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General, Community Development, and Local Transportation Funds, all of which are considered major funds. Data from the other four governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these non-major governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report. The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for its General Fund. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for the General Fund to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

Proprietary funds. The City maintains one proprietary fund type called Enterprise Funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for its Water, Sewer, Refuse, McDermont Sports Complex, and Wellness Center Funds.

Fiduciary funds. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the City. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the City's own programs. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. Please see the table of contents for page numbers.

Other information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information concerning the City's progress in funding its obligation to provide pension benefits to its employees. Required supplementary information can be found by referring to the index of this report.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- In past years, the City offset notes receivable in the community development fund with unearned revenue in the liability section of its balance sheet. In accordance with the City's implementation of GASB 65, the City revised the balance sheet to remove the unearned revenue offset. Consequently, the City's total governmental funds net position and fund balances increased by \$13,883.
- The City's net position improved from \$46,203 in FY 2017 to \$60,731 in FY 2018, an increase of \$14,528.
- The City's total governmental funds reported a combined ending fund balances of \$13,176 at the close of FY 2017-2018. That is a significant improvement from (\$5,061) at the close of FY 2016-2017.
- The City reclassified some advances to the general fund to transfers to the general fund to properly designate funds the general fund was unable to repay as transfers. Consequently, the general fund's FY 2017-2018 fund balance improved to (\$5,668) from FY 2016-2017 fund balance of (\$9,438).

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net position may serve as a useful indicator of a government's financial position over time. Assets and liabilities are the two largest components used in determining net position. In the case of the City of Lindsay, assets exceeded liabilities by \$57,972 at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

Of the City's net position, the net investment in capital assets portion represents its investment in capital assets (e.g., land buildings, machinery, and equipment), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to residents; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

Net Position provides a snapshot in time at the end of the fiscal year. Monitoring net position over time gives an indication of the general health of the City. In FY 2017-2018, the City's net position increased from \$46,203 to \$60,731, indicating a stronger financial position. It is important to recognize that much of the net position is based on net investment in capital assets, which are not liquid (or easily converted to cash).

As the reader will see in the following table (City of Lindsay's Net Position) a portion of the City's total net position, \$15,294, represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The unrestricted net position, (\$11,338), indicates the City has more liabilities than unrestricted assets. In other words, the City has borrowed restricted funds for general operations. The City is working with the agencies that placed the external restrictions on the restricted funds to negotiate a resolution to the overspending in the past. This is one of the most significant corrective actions the City has taken in FY 2017-2018 and in FY 2018-2019 to repair the financial damage done in prior years.

City of Lindsay's Net Position (amounts expressed in thousands)

| | Governmental Activities | | Business-Type Activities | | | | Total | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------|------|---------|-------|--------|------|----------|----|----------|
| | 2018 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | | 2017 |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current and other assets | \$ | 17,133 | \$ | 13,368 | \$ | 837 | \$ | 3,173 | \$ | 17,970 | \$ | 16,541 |
| Capital assets | | 23,425 | | 23,840 | | 46,619 | | 47,751 | | 70,044 | | 71,591 |
| Total assets | | 40,558 | | 37,208 | | 47,456 | | 50,924 | | 88,014 | | 88,132 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred outflows of resources | | 2,069 | | 1,930 | | 938 | | 866 | | 3,007 | | 2,796 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | | 4,121 | | 18,585 | | 1,128 | | 787 | | 5,249 | | 19,372 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | | 8,883 | | 8,241 | | 15,910 | | 16,692 | | 24,793 | | 24,933 |
| Total liabilities | | 13,004 | | 26,826 | | 17,038 | | 17,479 | | 30,042 | | 44,305 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred inflows of resources | | 182 | | 290 | | 66 | | 130 | | 248 | | 420 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NET POSITION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment in capital assets | | 23,211 | | 22,187 | | 33,564 | | 34,275 | | 56,775 | | 56,462 |
| Restricted | | 15,294 | | 4,377 | | - | | - | | 15,294 | | 4,377 |
| Unrestricted | | (9,064) | | (14,542) | | (2,274) | | (94) | | (11,338) | | (14,636) |
| Total net position | \$ | 29,441 | \$ | 12,022 | \$ | 31,290 | \$ | 34,181 | \$ | 60,731 | \$ | 46,203 |

The next table (City of Lindsay's Change in Net Position) provides a picture of what happened during FY 2017-2018.

Governmental activities. Governmental activities improved net position by \$3,977 this year.

Business-type activities. Business-type activities decreased net position by (\$4,377) this year.

In 2016-2017, the City vacated advances from the general fund to McDermont, which accounts for most of the decline in net position for governmental funds and increase in business type activity. This year (FY 2017-2018, the business-type activity funds vacated advances from those funds to the general fund by converting them to transfers. That was the major contributor to increases in net position in governmental activities and decreases in net position in business-type activities.

Another important note centers on the City's Street Improvement Project Fund (SIP), which is included in the governmental activities fund. SIP revenue is a voter-approved tax on its water, sewer and refuse funds (business-type activities) on a percentage basis. After the City closed the FY 2016-2017 records and before finalizing the FY 2017-2018 records, the City self-identified an error in the calculation table for the SIP. The City had been over-allocating revenue to the SIP. The City had to make a prior year adjustment to correct the over-allocation. As a result, the ending balance in 2017 does not match the beginning balance in 2018. The difference is the correction to prior year fund balance. The 2017 data is presented in the table below to maintain continuity between last year's audit report and this audit report. See Note 17 Prior Period Adjustments for more details.

City of Lindsay's Change in Net Position (amounts expressed in thousands)

| | Governmental Activities | | Business-Ty | pe Activities | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | |
| PROGRAM REVENUES | | | | | | | |
| Charges in Services | \$ 463 | \$ 321 | \$ 5,031 | \$ 5,763 | \$ 5,494 | \$ 6,084 | |
| Operating grants and contributions | 829 | 1,099 | - | - | 829 | 1,099 | |
| Capital grants and contributions | 1,564 | 1,888 | - | - | 1,564 | 1,888 | |
| GENERAL REVENUES | | | | | | | |
| Property taxes | 346 | 329 | - | - | 346 | 329 | |
| Other taxes | 3,827 | 2,893 | - | - | 3,827 | 2,893 | |
| Miscellaneous | 115 | 183 | 301 | 221 | 416 | 404 | |
| Transfer of assets to other gov'ts | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Transfers | 3,141 | (17,299) | (3,141) | 17,299 | - | - | |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 10,285 | (10,586) | 2,191 | 23,283 | 12,476 | 12,697 | |
| | 10,200 | (10,000) | 2,131 | 20,200 | 12,170 | 12,007 | |
| EXPENSES | | | | | | | |
| General government | 1,499 | 1,616 | - | - | 1,499 | 1,616 | |
| Public safety | 2,539 | 2,586 | - | - | 2,539 | 2,586 | |
| Parks and recreation | 983 | 200 | - | - | 983 | 200 | |
| Public works | 416 | 610 | - | - | 416 | 610 | |
| Streets and transportation | 561 | 382 | - | - | 561 | 382 | |
| Community development | 230 | 415 | - | - | 230 | 415 | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 81 | 93 | - | - | 81 | 93 | |
| Water Fund | - | - | 1,733 | 1,478 | 1,733 | 1,478 | |
| Sewer Fund | - | - | 1,343 | 1,291 | 1,343 | 1,291 | |
| Refuse Fund | - | - | 951 | 932 | 951 | 932 | |
| McDermont Fund | - | - | 1,704 | 2,866 | 1,704 | 2,866 | |
| Wellness Center Fund | - | - | 837 | 650 | 837 | 650 | |
| | | | | | - | - | |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 6,309 | 5,902 | 6,568 | 7,217 | 12,877 | 13,119 | |
| NET POSITION | | | | | | | |
| Change in Net Position | 3,976 | (16,488) | (4,377) | 16,066 | (401) | (422) | |
| | 0,010 | (_0,.00) | (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | () | (| |
| Net position - beginning | 12,022 | 27,510 | 34,181 | 18,947 | 46,203 | 46,457 | |
| Prior period adjustments | 13,443 | 1,000 | 1,486 | (832) | 14,929 | 168 | |
| Net position - beginning (restated) | 25,465 | 28,510 | 35,667 | 18,115 | 61,132 | 46,625 | |
| Net position - ending | \$ 29,441 | \$ 12,022 | \$ 31,290 | \$ 34,181 | \$ 60,731 | \$ 46,203 | |
| | | | | | | | |

CITY'S FUNDS FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Governmental funds. The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. Unreserved fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

Measure O, the City's voter-approved 1% transactions and use tax, took effect in October 1, 2017. The City received its first revenue from Measure O in December 2017. The new tax measure, which is classified as other taxes above, helps the City stop operating with an annual spending deficit in the general fund. It prevented the City from reducing its public safety force. Measure O is a vital part of the City's recovery strategy. Measure O is a general tax without a sunset, so the City will be able to rely on its ongoing support to the community.

The state legislature passed SB1 to increase local funding for transportation projects. The City received its initial payments under the allocation formula in FY 2016-2017. SB1 funding will continue to be a valuable resource as the City completes street projects.

The City placed its home loan program on hold while it negotiates the future of the housing program with California's department of housing and community development. The negotiations are ongoing, so the City will not authorize any new loans. It continues to manage the existing loan portfolio.

Proprietary funds. The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements, but in more detail.

The City made two significant changes in its proprietary funds in FY 2017-2018. The first was to outsource the management and operations of McDermont to McDermont Ventures Inc. (MVI). The decision will save the City between \$400,000 and \$700,000 per year going forward. The general fund assumed the repayment of the debt service on McDermont and structural maintenance while MVI is responsible for all other expenses.

The second significant change was converting the advances from water, sewer, refuse and other funds to the general from into transfers. The general fund would not be able to repay the debt, so carrying the debt as an advance did not accurately represent the nature of the borrowing. As a result, the proprietary funds will need to rebuild the capital the funds need for capital projects.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital assets. The City's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2018, amounts to \$70,044 (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings and system improvements, machinery and equipment, park facilities, roads, highways, and bridges. Note 5 details capital assets.

Measure O made it possible for the City to do a lease purchase of a new fire truck. This will replace the City's ladder truck that failed testing. The new truck is a needed improvement to the City's fleet.

Long-term debt. At the end of the current fiscal year, the City had total debt outstanding of \$14,751. State statutes limit the amount of general obligation debt a governmental entity may issue to 15% of its total assessed valuation \$199,554 for fiscal year 2018 per Tulare County Assessor). The City Charter Section 9.05A sets a 10% limitation. The current debt limitation for the City is \$19,955, which complies with State and local statutes.

Because of the City's negative fund balances and uncertainty surrounding negotiations with other governments, the private sector does not consider the City a viable candidate for additional borrowing. The lack of access to borrowing will constrain the City's ability to start new capital projects.

BUDGET VERSUS ACTUAL

There were some instances where the variance between budgeted and actual amounts were significant in FY 2017-2018. One instance is the \$329 received in intergovernmental revenues more than budgeted. The City received an unbudgeted HRPP Grant for park projects. The City used the funds to convert the City's executive golf course to a soccer complex. Another noteworthy line item is the transfers in to the general fund. The City did not budget to vacate the advances to the general fund by converting them to transfers. The Council authorized the conversion to transfers by resolution.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

The City of Lindsay is only in the first stages of its economic and fiscal recovery. The road ahead is long and daunting. The general fund's negative fund balance is roughly equal to one year's total general fund revenue. Management is working to find a realistic solution to the funds the City owes to HCD and other entities. The City will continue as a going concern until the City is able to find a workable solution.

The City is controlling its expenses, identifying and enhancing revenue sources and systematically dealing with the lingering, damaging effects of decisions made a decade ago.

Management is committed to the recovery process.

INFORMATION REQUESTS

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City's finances for all those with an interest in the City's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Office of the Director of Finance, P.O. Box 369, City of Lindsay, CA 93247 (559) 562-7102.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This page intentionally left blank

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This page intentionally left blank

City of Lindsay Statement of Net Position June 30, 2018

| | Covernmental | Primary Government Governmental Business-Type | | |
|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| | Activities | Activities | Total | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,634,012 | \$ 404,565 | \$ 3,038,577 | |
| Accounts receivable, net Interest receivable | 137,142 99 | 432,155 | 569,297 99 | |
| Due from other governments | 468,223 | - | 468,223 | |
| Notes receivable | 13,893,781 | - | 13,893,781 | |
| Total current assets | 17,133,257 | 836,720 | 17,969,977 | |
| Capital assets | | | | |
| Nondepreciable | 939,111 | 1,052,067 | 1,991,178 | |
| Depreciable, net | 22,485,351 | 45,567,569 | 68,052,920 | |
| Net capital assets | 23,424,462 | 46,619,636 | 70,044,098 | |
| Total assets | 40,557,719 | 47,456,356 | 88,014,075 | |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES | | | | |
| Deferred outflows from pensions | 2,068,214 | 937,389 | 3,005,603 | |
| Deferred outflows from OPEB | 781 | 232 | 1,013 | |
| Total deferred outflows of resources | 2,068,995 | 937,621 | 3,006,616 | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Cash overdraft | - | 126,168 | 126,168 | |
| Accounts and other payables | 235,033 | 349,571 | 584,604 | |
| Accrued wages | 120,274 | 57,374 | 177,648 | |
| Accrued interest Refundable deposits | 9,163 52,230 | 170,165 12,190 | 179,328 64,420 | |
| Long-term obligations - other | 52,250 | 12,190 | 04,420 | |
| Due to other governments | 733,910 | - | 733,910 | |
| Advances from Successor Agency | 2,815,536 | - | 2,815,536 | |
| Long-term debt - current portion | 155,274 | 412,259 | 567,533 | |
| Total current liabilities | 4,121,420 | 1,127,727 | 5,249,147 | |
| Non-current liabilities: | | | | |
| Long-term debt | 1,499,560 | 12,684,185 | 14,183,745 | |
| Net OPEB Liability Net pension liability | 1,082,907 6,300,192 | 357,734 2,868,288 | 1,440,641 9,168,480 | |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 8,882,659 | 15,910,207 | 24,792,866 | |
| Total liabilities | 13,004,079 | 17,037,934 | 30,042,013 | |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES | 10,001,017 | 1,007,001 | 000012010 | |
| Deferred inflows from pensions | 145,431 | 55,390 | 200,821 | |
| Deferred inflows from OPEB | 36,419 | 10,774 | 47,193 | |
| Total deferred inflows of resources | 181,850 | 66,164 | 248,014 | |
| NET POSITION | | | | |
| Net investment in capital assets | 23,210,015 | 33,564,495 | 56,774,510 | |
| Restricted | 15,294,454 | - | 15,294,454 | |
| Unrestricted | (9,063,684) | (2,274,616) | (11,338,300 | |
| Total net position | \$ 29,440,785 | \$ 31,289,879 | \$ 60,730,664 | |

City of Lindsay Statement of Activities For the year ended June 30, 2018

| | Program Revenues | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------|----|------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|-------|-----------|
| | | | | | 0 | perating | | Capital | | |
| | | | C | harges for | Gr | ants and | C | Frants and | | |
| Functions/Programs | | Expenses | | Services | | ntributions | Со | ntributions | Total | |
| rimary Government: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Governmental activities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Government | \$ | 1,499,483 | \$ | 3,324 | \$ | 293,241 | \$ | - | \$ | 296,565 |
| Public Safety | | 2,539,144 | | 107,823 | | 196,768 | | - | | 304,591 |
| Parks and recreation | | 983,302 | | 79,095 | | - | | - | | 79,095 |
| Public works | | 416,247 | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Streets and transportation | | 560,890 | | - | | - | | 1,564,271 | | 1,564,271 |
| Community development | | 229,857 | | 272,372 | | 339,235 | | - | | 611,607 |
| Interest on long-term debt | | 80,325 | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total governmental activities | | 6,309,248 | | 462,614 | | 829,244 | | 1,564,271 | | 2,856,129 |
| Business-type activities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Fund | | 1,732,947 | | 1,706,850 | | - | | - | | 1,706,850 |
| Sewer Fund | | 1,343,166 | | 1,417,550 | | - | | - | | 1,417,550 |
| Refuse Fund | | 951,418 | | 957,245 | | - | | - | | 957,245 |
| McDermont Sports Complex Fund | | 1,704,284 | | 726,916 | | - | | - | | 726,916 |
| Wellness Center Fund | | 837,004 | | 222,784 | | - | | - | | 222,784 |
| Total business-type activities | | 6,568,819 | | 5,031,345 | | - | | - | | 5,031,345 |
| Total primary government | \$ | 12,878,067 | \$ | 5,493,959 | \$ | 829,244 | \$ | 1,564,271 | \$ | 7,887,474 |

General Revenues and transfers:

Taxes:

Property taxes

Sales taxes

Utilities Uses Tax

Other taxes

Sales of assets

Other income

Unrestricted investments earnings

Transfers

Total general revenues and transfers

Change in net position

Net position - beginning of year

Prior period adjustment

Net position - beginning of year, as restated

Net position - end of year

| Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------|--------------|----|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Gov | vernmental | Bu | isiness-Type | | | | | | |
| A | Activities | | Activities | | Total | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | (1,202,918) | \$ | - | \$ | (1,202,918) | | | | |
| | (2,234,553) | | - | | (2,234,553) | | | | |
| | (904,207) | | - | | (904,207) | | | | |
| | (416,247) | | - | | (416,247) | | | | |
| | 1,003,381 | | - | | 1,003,381 | | | | |
| | 381,750 | | - | | 381,750 | | | | |
| | (80,325) | | - | | (80,325) | | | | |
| | (3,453,119) | | - | | (3,453,119) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | (26,097) | | (26,097) | | | | |
| | - | | 74,384 | | 74,384 | | | | |
| | - | | 5,827 | | 5,827 | | | | |
| | - | | (977,368) | | (977,368) | | | | |
| | - | | (614,220) | | (614,220) | | | | |
| | - | <u> </u> | (1,537,474) | | (1,537,474) | | | | |
| \$ | (3,453,119) | \$ | (1,537,474) | \$ | (4,990,593) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 345,700 | | - | | 345,700 | | | | |
| | 939,735 | | - | | 939,735 | | | | |
| | 852,637 | | - | | 852,637 | | | | |
| | 2,034,597 | | - | | 2,034,597 | | | | |
| | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| | 75,512 | | 285,752 | | 361,264 | | | | |
| | 39,683 | | 14,768 | | 54,451 | | | | |
| | 3,140,754 | | (3,140,754) | | - | | | | |
| | 7,428,618 | | (2,840,234) | | 4,588,384 | | | | |
| | 3,975,499 | | (4,377,708) | | (402,209) | | | | |
| | 12,022,244 | | 34,181,107 | | 46,203,351 | | | | |
| | 13,443,042 | | 1,486,480 | | 14,929,522 | | | | |
| | 25,465,286 | | 35,667,587 | | 61,132,873 | | | | |
| \$ | 29,440,785 | \$ | 31,289,879 | \$ | 60,730,664 | | | | |

See accompanying Notes to Basic Financial Statements

This page intentionally left blank

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

Proprietary Fund Financial Statements

Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements

City of Lindsay Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2018

| | Major Funds | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | Community | | | Local | Ν | on-Major | Total | |
| | General | D | evelopment | Tra | insportation | Gov | vernmental | Governmental | |
| | Fund | | Fund | | Fund | | Funds | | Funds |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 166,675 | \$ | 45,898 | \$ | 1,585,386 | \$ | 836,053 | \$ | 2,634,012 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 134,444 | | - | | - | | 2,698 | | 137,142 |
| Interest receivable | - | | 99 | | - | | - | | 99 |
| Due from other governments | 414,777 | | - | | 16,425 | | 37,021 | | 468,223 |
| Notes receivable | 733 | | 13,882,844 | | - | | 10,204 | | 13,893,781 |
| Advances to other funds | - | | 2,583,360 | | - | | - | | 2,583,360 |
| Total assets | \$ 716,629 | \$ | 16,512,201 | \$ | 1,601,811 | \$ | 885,976 | \$ | 19,716,617 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES | | | | | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts and other payables | 138,320 | | 83,563 | | - | | 13,150 | | 235,033 |
| Accrued wages | 112,288 | | 3,206 | | - | | 4,780 | | 120,274 |
| Due to other governments | 733,910 | | - | | - | | - | | 733,910 |
| Advances from other funds | 2,583,360 | | - | | - | | - | | 2,583,360 |
| Advances from Successor Agency | 2,815,536 | | - | | - | | - | | 2,815,536 |
| Refundable deposits | 951 | | 51,279 | | - | | - | | 52,230 |
| Total liabilities | 6,384,365 | | 138,048 | | - | | 17,930 | | 6,540,343 |
| Fund Balances: | | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted | - | | 16,374,153 | | 1,601,811 | | 868,046 | | 18,844,010 |
| Unassigned | (5,667,736) | | - | | - | | - | | (5,667,736) |
| Total fund balances | (5,667,736) | | 16,374,153 | | 1,601,811 | | 868,046 | | 13,176,274 |
| Total liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| and fund balances | \$ 716,629 | \$ | 16,512,201 | \$ | 1,601,811 | \$ | 885,976 | \$ | 19,716,617 |

City of Lindsay Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position June 30, 2018

| Total Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds | \$ 13,176,274 |
|---|------------------|
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position were different from those reported in the Governmental Funds above because of the following: | |
| Capital assets used in governmental activities were not current financial resources. Therefore, they were not reported in the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet. The capital assets were adjusted as follows: | |
| Non-depreciable | 939,111 |
| Depreciable, net | 22,485,351 |
| Interest payable on long-term debt did not require current financial resources. Therefore, interest payable was not reported as a liability in Governmental Funds Balance Sheet. | (9,163) |
| In the Government-Wide Financial Statement certain differences between actuarial estimates and actual results for pension and OPEB are deferred and amortized over a period of time, however, in the governmental funds no transactions are recorded. | 1,887,145 |
| Long-term liabilities were not due and payable in the current period. Therefore, they were not reported in the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet: | |
| Net pension liability | (6,300,192) |
| Net OPEB Obligation | (1,082,907) |
| Long term liabilities - due within one year | (155,274) |
| Long term liabilities - due in more than one year | (1,499,560) |
| Net Position of Governmental Activities | \$ 29,440,785 |

City of Lindsay Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds For the year ended June 30, 2018

| | | Major Funds | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | | Community | Local | Non-Major | Total |
| | General | Development | Transportation | Governmental | Governmental |
| | Fund | Fund | Fund | Funds | Funds |
| REVENUES: | | | | | |
| Property taxes | \$ 345,700 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 345,700 |
| Other taxes | 4,843,089 | - | - | 85,771 | 4,928,860 |
| Licenses and permits | 368,164 | - | - | - | 368,164 |
| Intergovernmental Charges for services | 453,627 18,448 | 8,960 | 207,469 | 481,256 | 1,151,312 |
| Fees and fines | 159,316 | - | - | - 102 | 18,448 159,418 |
| Interest revenue | 167 | 32,665 | 293 | 488 | 33,613 |
| Other revenues | 128,894 | 7,484 | | - | 136,378 |
| Total revenues | 6,317,405 | 49,109 | 207,762 | 567,617 | 7,141,893 |
| EXPENDITURES: | | | | | |
| Current: | | | | | |
| General government | 932,434 | 6,966 | - | 82,328 | 1,021,728 |
| Public safety | 2,519,455 | - | - | - | 2,519,455 |
| Parks and recreation | 171,510 | - | - | - | 171,510 |
| Public works | 557,190 | - | - | - | 557,190 |
| Streets and transportation | 258,226 | 59 | 21,704 | 280,900 | 560,889 |
| Community development | 35,456 | 157,268 | - | - | 192,724 |
| Debt service: | 10.115 | | 53 0 (1 | | 01.070 |
| Principal | 18,415 | - | 72,964 | - | 91,379 |
| Interest and administrative charges Capital outlay | 32,889 362,625 | - | 48,478 | - 15,995 | 81,367 378,620 |
| | | 1(1 202 | 140.14(| | |
| Total expenditures | 4,888,200 | 164,293 | 143,146 | 379,223 | 5,574,862 |
| REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES | 1,429,205 | (115,184) | 64,616 | 188,394 | 1,567,031 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): | | | | | |
| Proceeds from capital leases | 2,100 | - | - | - | 2,100 |
| Transfers in | 4,698,183 | 18,040 | - | 27,938 | 4,744,161 |
| Transfers out | (1,568,407) | | | (35,000) | (1,603,407) |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | 3,131,876 | 18,040 | | (7,062) | 3,142,854 |
| Net change in fund balances | 4,561,081 | (97,144) | 64,616 | 181,332 | 4,709,885 |
| FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS): | | | | | |
| Beginning of year | (9,438,491) | 2,176,682 | 1,537,195 | 663,124 | (5,061,490) |
| Prior period adjustments | (790,326) | 14,294,615 | - | 23,590 | 13,527,879 |
| Beginning of year, as restated | (10,228,817) | 16,471,297 | 1,537,195 | 686,714 | 8,466,389 |
| End of year | \$ (5,667,736) | \$ 16,374,153 | \$ 1,601,811 | \$ 868,046 | \$ 13,176,274 |

See accompanying Notes to Basic Financial Statements

City of Lindsay Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances to the Government-Wide Statement of Activities For the year ended June 30, 2018

| Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds | \$ 4,709,885 |
|---|-----------------|
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities were different because: | |
| Governmental funds reported asset acquisitions as expenditures. However, in the Government-Wide Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets was allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. This was the amount of capital assets recorded in the current period. | 519,563 |
| Depreciation expense on capital assets was reported in the Government-Wide Statement of Activities, but they did not require the use of current financial resources. Therefore, depreciation expense was not reported as expenditures in the governmental funds. | |
| as expenditures in the governmental runus. | (934,669) |
| Accrued compensated leave payable was an expenditure in governmental funds, but the accrued payable increased compensated leave liabilities in the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position. | (19,468) |
| OPEB expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. | (73,738) |
| Bond proceeds provided current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing debt increased long- term liabilities in the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position. Repayment of bond principal was an expenditure in governmental funds, but the repayment reduced long-term liabilities in the Government- Wide Statement of Net Position. | |
| Long-term debt repayments | 91,379 |
| Current year employer pension contributions are recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds, however, these amounts are reported as a deferred outflow of resources in the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position. Pension expense is recorded as incurred in the Government-Wide Statement of Activities, however, pension expense is not recognized in the governmental funds. This is the net amount of the pension contribution and pension expense | |
| | (318,495) |
| Interest expense on long-term debt is reported on the accrual basis on the Government-Wide Statements, but expenditures on long-term debt in the governmental funds statements are recorded when paid. The following amount represents the change in accrued interact from the prior year. | |
| following amount represents the change in accrued interest from the prior year. | 1,042 |
| Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities | \$ 3,975,499 |

| | Enterprise Funds | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Water Fund | Sewer Fund | Refuse Fund |
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets: | ф 017 071 | ¢ | ¢ |
| Cash and equivalents Accounts receivable, net | \$ 217,271 161,822 | \$ | \$ - 93,331 |
| Total current assets | 379,093 | 115,239 | 93,331 |
| Non-current assets: | | | |
| Capital assets: | 054.000 | | |
| Construction in progress | 354,808 | - | - |
| Land Buildings and improvements | 68,377 5,104,547 | 230,143 6,800,531 | - |
| Infrastructure | 9,137,056 | 12,896,903 | - |
| Equipment | 21,356 | 180,700 | - |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (6,126,385) | (9,575,224) | |
| Total non-current assets | 8,559,759 | 10,533,053 | |
| Total assets | 8,938,852 | 10,648,292 | 93,331 |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES | | | |
| Deferred outflows from pensions | 241,688 | 155,627 | 43,166 |
| Deferred outflows from OPEB | 119 | 63 | 19 |
| Total deferred outflows | 241,807 | 155,690 | 43,185 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | |
| Cash overdraft | - | 82,428 | 43,740 |
| Accounts and other payables | 229,769 | 8,778 | 74,548 |
| Accrued wages | 26,434 | 11,838 | 2,473 |
| Accrued interest | 32,864 | 95,296 | - |
| Refundable deposits | 9,114 | - | - 1.450 |
| Compensated absences payable - current portion Bonds and other long-term debt - current portion | 6,451 68,133 | 3,955 168,923 | 1,473 |
| Total current liabilities | 372,765 | 371,218 | 122,234 |
| Non-current liabilities: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · · · · · |
| Net OPEB liability | 132,147 | 106,848 | 28,890 |
| Net pension liability | 746,592 | 479,984 | 132,572 |
| Compensated absences payable | 8,681 | 8,029 | 2,990 |
| Bonds and other long-term debt | 1,666,472 | 7,812,780 | |
| Total non-current liabilities | 2,553,892 | 8,407,641 | 164,452 |
| Total liabilities | 2,926,657 | 8,778,859 | 286,686 |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES | | | |
| Deferred inflows from pensions Deferred inflows from OPEB | 8,211 | 6,189 | 2,123 |
| Total deferred inflows of resources | 5,557 | 2,913 9,102 | 896 |
| NET POSITION | 13,768 | 9,102 | 3,019 |
| Net investment in capital assets | 6,825,154 | 2,551,350 | - |
| Unrestricted | (584,920) | (535,329) | (153,189) |
| Total net position | \$ 6,240,234 | \$ 2,016,021 | \$ (153,189) |

See accompanying Notes to Basic Financial Statements

| | Enterprise Funds | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| McDermont | Wellness | Total | | | |
| Sports Complex | Center | Proprietary | | | |
| Fund | Fund | Funds | | | |
| | <u> </u> | T unuo | | | |
| \$ 186,004 | \$ 1,290 61,763 | \$ 404,565 432,155 | | | |
| 186,004 | 63,053 | 836,720 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| - | - | 354,808 | | | |
| 217,413 | 181,326 | 697,259 | | | |
| 22,987,873 | 8,631,783 | 43,524,734 | | | |
| - | 824,260 | 22,858,219 | | | |
| 1,740,545 | 48,571 | 1,991,172 | | | |
| (5,761,649) | (1,343,298) | (22,806,556) | | | |
| 19,184,182 | 8,342,642 | 46,619,636 | | | |
| 19,370,186 | 8,405,695 | 47,456,356 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 418,683 | 78,225 | 937,389 | | | |
| - | 31 | 232 | | | |
| 418,683 | 78,256 | 937,621 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| - | - | 126,168 | | | |
| 19,551 | 16,925 | 349,571 | | | |
| - 9 | 16,629 41,996 | 57,374 170,165 | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| - | 3,076 | 12,190 | | | |
| - 115,000 | 3,208 45,116 | 15,087 397,172 | | | |
| 134,560 | 126,950 | 1,127,727 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| - | 89,849 | 357,734 | | | |
| 1,266,272 | 242,868 | 2,868,288 | | | |
| - 1,193,896 | 6,516 1,984,821 | 26,216 12,657,969 | | | |
| 2,460,168 | 2,324,054 | 15,910,207 | | | |
| 2,594,728 | 2,451,004 | 17,037,934 | | | |
| 2,394,728 | 2,431,004 | 11,031,934 | | | |
| 37,254 | 1,613 | 55,390 | | | |
| | 1,408 | 10,774 | | | |
| 37,254 | 3,021 | 66,164 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 17,875,286 | 6,312,705 (282,770) | 33,564,495 | | | |
| (718,399) \$ 17,156,887 | (282,779) \$ 6,029,926 | (2,274,616) \$ 31,289,879 | | | |
| | | | | | |

City of Lindsay Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds For the year ended June 30, 2018

| | Enterprise Funds | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Water Fund | Sewer Fund | Refuse Fund |
| OPERATING REVENUES: | | | |
| Service fees | \$ 1,627,742 | \$ 1,417,550 | \$ 953,043 |
| Other revenues | 79,108 | | 4,202 |
| Total operating revenues | 1,706,850 | 1,417,550 | 957,245 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | |
| Salaries | 283,712 | 178,124 | 39,739 |
| Benefits | 306,157 | 184,653 | 45,046 |
| Materials, services, and supplies | 797,629 | 400,590 | 866,633 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 284,833 | 394,220 | - |
| Total operating expenses | 1,672,331 | 1,157,587 | 951,418 |
| OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) | 34,519 | 259,963 | 5,827 |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES): | | | |
| Intergovernmental | - | - | - |
| Other income | 2,612 | 1,965 | - |
| Interest earnings | 601 | 748 | - |
| Interest expense | (60,616) | (185,579) | |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | (57,403) | (182,866) | |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): | | | |
| Transfers in | - | - | - |
| Transfers out | (1,906,796) | (2,354,466) | (401,921) |
| Total transfers | (1,906,796) | (2,354,466) | (401,921) |
| Change in net position | (1,929,680) | (2,277,369) | (396,094) |
| NET POSITION: | | | |
| Beginning of year | 7,872,533 | 4,027,866 | 35,707 |
| Prior period adjustments | 297,381 | 265,524 | 207,198 |
| Beginning of year, as restated | 8,169,914 | 4,293,390 | 242,905 |
| End of year | \$ 6,240,234 | \$ 2,016,021 | \$ (153,189) |

| | Enterprise Funds | | | |
|----------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| McDermont | Wellness | Total | | |
| Sports Complex | Center | Proprietary | | |
| Fund | Fund | Funds | | |
| | | | | |
| \$ 726,916 | \$ 222,784 | \$ 4,948,035 | | |
| - | - | 83,310 | | |
| 726,916 | 222,784 | 5,031,345 | | |
| | | 0,001,010 | | |
| | | | | |
| 335,913 | 169,500 | 1,006,988 | | |
| 257,391 | 115,505 | 908,752 | | |
| 490,330 | 253,267 | 2,808,449 | | |
| 535,306 | 196,635 | 1,410,994 | | |
| 1,618,940 | 734,907 | 6,135,183 | | |
| (892,024) | (512,123) | (1,103,838) | | |
| | | | | |
| - | 233,721 | 233,721 | | |
| - | 47,454 | 52,031 | | |
| 13,419 | - | 14,768 | | |
| (85,344) | (102,097) | (433,636) | | |
| (71,925) | 179,078 | (133,116) | | |
| | | | | |
| 720,635 | 801,794 | 1,522,429 | | |
| - | - | (4,663,183) | | |
| 720,635 | 801,794 | (3,140,754) | | |
| (243,314) | 468,749 | (4,377,708) | | |
| (243,314) | 400,749 | (4,377,706) | | |
| | | | | |
| 16,676,648 | 5,568,353 | 34,181,107 | | |
| 723,553 | (7,176) | 1,486,480 | | |
| 17,400,201 | 5,561,177 | 35,667,587 | | |
| \$ 17,156,887 | \$ 6,029,926 | \$ 31,289,879 | | |

| | | | Ente | erprise Funds | | |
|---|----------|--|------|---|---------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Water Fund | | Sewer Fund | | Refuse Fund |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Cash receipts from customers Payments to employees Payments to suppliers | \$ | 2,010,920 (504,217) (580,944) | \$ | 1,677,979 (310,918) (397,210) | \$ | 1,144,309 (71,583) (864,724) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | _ | 925,759 | | 969,851 | _ | 208,002 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Grant income Other income Transfers in | | 3,213 | | 2,713 | | - - - (401.001) |
| Transfers out | | (1,906,796) | | (2,354,466) | | (401,921) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities | | (1,903,583) | | (2,351,753) | | (401,921) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Purchase of capital assets Capital expenditures Payment of advances to other funds Cash receipts of advances from other funds Interest paid on long-term debt Principal payments on long-term debt | | - (258,045) 1,582,000 - (59,483) (78,922) | | - 1,582,000 - (189,454) (170,475) | | - 140,000 - - (3,375) |
| | | · · · · · · | | · · · · · | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities | | 1,185,550 | | 1,222,071 | | 136,625 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | 207,726 | | (159,831) | | (57,294) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - Beginning of year | | 9,545 | | 77,403 | | 13,554 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - End of year | \$ | 217,271 | \$ | (82,428) | \$ | (43,740) |
| RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | \$ | 34,519 | \$ | 259,963 | \$ | 5,827 |
| Depreciation expense | | 284,833 | | 394,220 | | - |
| Changes in assets, deferred outflows and inflows of resources, and liabilities: (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable (Increase) decrease in deferred outflows of resources - deferred pension Increase (decrease) in accounts and other payables Increase (decrease) in accrued wages | | 299,566 (23,439) 216,685 9,626 | | 260,429 (14,229) 3,380 4,086 | | 187,064 (3,636) 1,909 (826) |
| Increase (decrease) in refundable deposits | | 4,504 | | - | | - |
| Increase (decrease) in compensated absences payable Increase (decrease) in net OPEB liability Increase (decrease) in net pension liability Increase (decrease) in deferred inflows of resources - deferred pension | | 10,576 11,252 102,120 (24,482) | | 8,974 5,898 61,993 | | 3,805 1,815 15,842 (2,708) |
| | <i>ф</i> | (24,483) | ¢ | (14,863) | <u></u> | (3,798) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ | 925,759 | \$ | 969,851 | \$ | 208,002 |

| | Enterpris | se Fu | ınds | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-------|-------------------|----|---------------------|--|--|--|
| М | cDermont | | Wellness | | Total | | | |
| Spo | rts Complex | | Center | | Proprietary | | | |
| - | Fund | | Fund | | Funds | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | 729,972 | \$ | 189,946 | \$ | 5,753,126 | | | |
| | (602,206) | | (252,493) | | (1,741,417) | | | |
| | (488,702) | | (247,234) | | (2,578,814) | | | |
| | (360,936) | | (309,781) | | 1,432,895 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | 233,721 | | 233,721 | | | |
| | 13,419 | | 47,454 | | 66,799 | | | |
| | 720,635 | | 801,794 | | 1,522,429 | | | |
| | - | | - | | (4,663,183) | | | |
| | 734,054 | | 1,082,969 | | (2,840,234) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | (22,000) | | (22,000) | | | |
| | - | | (22,000) | | (258,045) | | | |
| | - | | - | | 3,304,000 | | | |
| | - | | (611,833) | | (611,833) | | | |
| | (85,344) | | (97,645) | | (431,926) | | | |
| | (126,769) | | (40,419) | | (419,960) | | | |
| | (212,113) | | (771,897) | | 1,560,236 | | | |
| | 161,005 | | 1,291 | | 152,897 | | | |
| | 24,999 | | (1) | | 125,500 | | | |
| \$ | 186,004 | \$ | 1,290 | \$ | 278,397 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| \$ | (892,024) | \$ | (512,123) | \$ | (1,103,838) | | | |
| | 535,306 | | 196,635 | | 1,410,994 | | | |
| | 3,056 | | (35,914) | | 714,201 | | | |
| | (21,323) | | (8,461) | | (71,088) | | | |
| | 1,628 | | 6,033 | | 229,635 | | | |
| | (49,050) | | 2,544 | | (33,620) | | | |
| | - | | 3,076 | | 7,580 | | | |
| | (9,156) | | 7,556 | | 21,755 | | | |
| | - | | 2,850 | | 21,815 | | | |
| | 92,900 (22,273) | | 36,861 (8,838) | | 309,716 (74,255) | | | |
| \$ | (22,273) (360,936) | \$ | (309,781) | \$ | 1,432,895 | | | |
| Ψ | (00,200) | φ | (302,701) | φ | 1,70,2000 | | | |

City of Lindsay Statement of Fiduciary Net Position (Deficit) Fiduciary Fund June 30, 2018

| | | vate-Purpose Trust Fund |
|--------------------------------------|----|----------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 946,031 |
| Notes receivable | | 1,225,836 |
| Land held for resale | | 1,695,000 |
| Advances to the City | | 2,815,536 |
| Other assets | | 201,226 |
| Total assets | | 6,883,629 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | | 94 |
| Accrued interest payable | | 204,499 |
| Long-term debt: | | |
| Due within one year | | 460,000 |
| Due in more than one year | | 14,961,645 |
| Total liabilities | | 15,626,238 |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES | | |
| Deferred gain on refunding of debt | | 461,890 |
| Total deferred inflows of resources | | 461,890 |
| NET POSITION (DEFICIT) | | |
| Net position (deficit) held in trust | | (9,204,499) |
| Total net position (deficit) | ¢ | (9,204,499) |
| Total her position (deficit) | Ð | (9,204,499) |

City of Lindsay Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Fund For the year ended June 30, 2018

| | vate-Purpose Frust Fund |
|--|----------------------------|
| ADDITIONS: | |
| Redevelopment Agency Property Tax Trust Fund | \$ 1,971,618 |
| Other income | 17,193 |
| Proceeds from sale of capital asset | 273,552 |
| Total additions | 2,262,363 |
| DEDUCTIONS: | |
| Administrative expenses | 277,989 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 438,950 |
| Total Deductions | 738,028 |
| Change in net position | 1,524,335 |
| NET POSITION (DEFICIT): | |
| Beginning of year | (12,130,530) |
| Prior period adjustments | 1,401,696 |
| Beiginning of year, restated | (10,728,834) |
| End of year | \$ (9,204,499) |

This page intentionally left blank

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The basic financial statements of the City of Lindsay, California (City) have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental agencies. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the City's accounting policies are described below.

A. Financial Reporting Entity

The City of Lindsay incorporated in 1910, as a general law city of the State of California, and reclassified to a Charter City January 8, 1996, filed with the State of California in April 1996. Lindsay is located in the middle of the state in the Central San Joaquin Valley. The Central Valley is considered to be a national and world leader in the agricultural industry, with dairy, citrus, and deciduous crops the primary commodity around the Lindsay area. The City of Lindsay currently occupies an incorporated area of 2.41 square miles with an urban development boundary of 3.9 square miles and serves a population of 13,043 (2017) – an increase of 11% since 2010.

B. Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity with its own self-balancing set of accounts that comprise its assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, fund equity, revenues and expenditures or expenses. These funds are established for the purpose of carrying out specific activities or certain objectives in accordance with specific regulations, restrictions or limitations. Governmental resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The City's Government-Wide Financial Statements include a Statement of Net Position and a Statement of Activities. These statements present summaries of Governmental and Business-Type Activities for the City accompanied by a total column.

B. Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus, Continued

Government-Wide Financial Statements, Continued

These financial statements are presented on an "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, all of the City's assets, deferred inflows/outflows of resources, and liabilities, including capital assets, as well as infrastructure assets, and long-term liabilities, are included in the accompanying Statement of Net Position. The Statement of Activities presents changes in net position. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which the liabilities are incurred.

Certain types of transactions reported as program revenues for the City are reported in three categories:

- Charges for services
- Operating grants and contributions
- Capital grants and contributions

Certain eliminations have been made in regards to interfund activities, payables, and receivables. All internal balances in the Statement of Net Position have been eliminated except those representing balances between the governmental activities and the business-type activities, which are presented as internal balances and eliminated in the total primary government column. In the Statement of Activities, internal fund transactions have been eliminated; however, those transactions between governmental and business-type activities have not been eliminated. The following interfund activities have been eliminated:

- Due to/from other funds
- Advanced to/from other funds
- Transfers in/out

The City applies all applicable GASB pronouncements including all NCGA Statements and Interpretations currently in effect.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

Governmental fund financial statements include a Balance Sheet and a Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances for all major governmental funds and non-major funds aggregated. An accompanying schedule is presented to reconcile and explain the differences in net position as presented in these statements to the net position presented in the government-wide financial statements. The City has presented all major funds that meet specific qualifications.

B. Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus, Continued

Governmental Fund Financial Statements, Continued

All governmental funds are accounted for on a spending or "current financial resources" measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, only current assets and current liabilities are included on the balance sheets. The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period.

The City reports the following funds as major governmental funds of the City.

<u>General Fund</u> is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

<u>Community Development Fund</u> accounts for all financial transactions having to do with the Community Development Block Grant Program and First-Time Homebuyers Program of the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development for low-interest housing rehabilitation and mortgage assistance loans.

Local Transportation Fund accounts for Transportation Development Act funds for the development and support of public transportation needs.

Revenues are recorded when received in cash, except that revenues subject to accrual (generally those received 45 to 90 days after year-end) are recognized when due. The primary revenue sources that have been treated as susceptible to accrual by the City are property taxes, taxpayer-assessed tax revenues (sales taxes, transient occupancy taxes, franchise taxes, etc.), grant revenues and earnings on investments. Expenditures are recorded in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred.

The Reconciliation of the Fund Financial Statements to the Government-Wide Financial Statements is provided.

Proprietary Fund Financial Statements

Proprietary fund financial statements include a Statement of Net Position, a Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Position, and a Statement of Cash Flows for all proprietary funds.

Proprietary funds are accounted for using the "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, all assets and liabilities (whether current or noncurrent) are included on the Statement of Net Position. The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position present increases (revenues) and decreases (expenses) in total net position.

B. Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus, Continued

Proprietary Fund Financial Statements, Continued

Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which a liability is incurred.

Operating revenues in the proprietary funds are those revenues that are generated from the primary operations of the fund. All other revenues are reported as non-operating revenues. Operating expenses are those expenses that are essential to the primary operations of the fund. All other expenses are reported as non-operating expenses.

The City reports the Water, Sewer, Refuse, McDermont Sports Complex, and Wellness Center Funds as proprietary funds of the City.

Water Fund accounts for the activities of the water distribution system.

Sewer Fund accounts for the activities of the sewage pumping stations, treatment plant, and laboratory.

Refuse Fund accounts for the activities of the refuse collection and recycling.

<u>McDermont Sports Complex Fund</u> accounts for the activities of the McDermont Field House Sports and Recreation Center (McDermont Sports Complex).

Wellness Center Fund accounts for the activities of the Wellness Center and Aquatic Center.

Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements

Fiduciary fund financial statements consist of a Statement of Fiduciary Net Position and a Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position. The City has one private-purpose trust fund. The private-purpose trust fund accounts for resources of all other trust arrangements in which principal and income benefit individuals, private organizations, or other governments (i.e. unclaimed property/escheat property). Fiduciary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. The City reports the following fiduciary fund:

<u>Private-Purpose Trust Fund</u> accounts for assets held by the City in a trustee capacity or as an agent on behalf of others. Trust funds account for assets held by the City under the terms of a formal trust agreement.

C. Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments

The City pools cash and investments of all funds, except amounts held by fiscal agents. The Council invests on behalf of most funds of the City in accordance with the California State Government Code and the City's investment policy.

Investments are reported in the accompanying balance sheet at fair value. Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Cash deposits are reported at carrying amount which reasonably estimates fair value. Managed funds not listed on an established market are reported at the estimated fair value as determined by the respective fund managers based on quoted sales prices of the underlying securities.

Participant's equity in an investment pool is determined by the dollar amount of participant deposits, adjusted for withdrawals and distributed investment income. Investment income is determined on an amortized cost basis. Amortized premiums and accreted discounts, accrued interest, and realized gains and losses, net of expenses, are apportioned to pool participants annually. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the City had not entered into any legally binding guarantees to support the participant equity in the investment pool.

The City pools cash resources from all funds in order to facilitate the management of cash. The balance in the pooled cash account is available to meet current operating requirements. Cash in excess of current requirements is invested in various interest-bearing accounts and other investments for varying terms.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 40, *Deposit and Investment Disclosures (Amendment of GASB No. 3)*, certain disclosure requirements for Deposits and Investment Risks were made in the following areas:

- Interest Rate Risk
- Credit Risk
 - o Overall
 - o Custodial Credit Risk
 - Concentrations of Credit Risk

In addition, other disclosures are specified including use of certain methods to present deposits and investments, highly sensitive investments, credit quality at year-end, and other disclosures.

C. Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments, Continued

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, highly liquid market investments with maturities of one year or less at time of purchase are stated at amortized cost. All other investments are stated at fair value. The City categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. The City does not have any investments that are measured using Level 3 inputs.

The City participates in an investment pool managed by the State of California entitled Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) which has invested a portion of the pooled funds in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities. LAIF's investments are subject to credit risk with the full faith and credit of the State of California collateralizing these investments. In addition, these Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities are subject to market risk as to the change in interest rates.

Cash equivalents are considered amounts in demand deposits and short-term investments with a maturity date within three months of the date acquired by the City and are presented as "Cash and Investments" in the accompanying Basic Financial Statements.

For the purposes of the accompanying statement of cash flows, the City considers all pooled cash and investments (consisting of cash and investments and restricted cash and investments) held by the City as cash and cash equivalents because the pool is used essentially as a demand deposit account from the standpoint of the funds. The City also considers all non-pooled cash and investments (consisting of cash with fiscal agent and restricted cash and investments held by fiscal agent) as cash and cash equivalents because investments meet the criteria for cash equivalents defined above.

D. Inventory and Prepaids

Governmental funds inventories are valued at average cost using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method and are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased. Business-type funds inventories are stated at cost using the FIFO method and consist of expendable materials and supplies.

Any payments to vendors that reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Fund balance is reserved for inventories and prepaids, if any, to indicate that a portion of fund balance is not available for appropriation and not expendable, available financial resources.

Inventory in proprietary funds is comprised of supplies for resale and are slated at the lower of cost or market on a FIFO basis.

E. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. The City defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$15,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of three years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed.

Donated capital assets are recorded at acquisition value at the date of donation. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of business-type activities is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed.

Property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure of the City are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| Assets | Years |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Buildings and improvements | 50 |
| Public domain infrastructure | 50 |
| System infrastructure | 30 |
| Vehicle | 5 |
| Office equipment | 5 |
| Computer equipment | 5 |

F. Unearned revenue

Unearned revenue arises when assets are received before revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied. Grants and entitlements from federal and state projects and programs received before eligibility requirements are met are recorded as unearned revenue.

G. Compensated Absences

Accumulated vested unpaid employee vacation and compensatory time-off benefits are recognized as liabilities of the City. Governmental funds recognize the current portion of the liabilities at year- end, while the non-current portion of these liabilities is recognized in the general long-term debt account group. Proprietary funds record the full liability as the vested benefits to the employees accrue.

Sick leave benefits are accumulated without limit for each employee. The employees do not gain a vested right to accumulated sick leave. Accumulated employee sick leave benefits are not recognized as liabilities of the City since payment of such benefits is not probable. Therefore, sick leave benefits are recorded as expenditures in the period that the sick leave is taken.

H. Long-Term Debt

Government-Wide Financial Statements – Long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the appropriate activities.

Bond premiums and discounts are amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable is reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs, except any portion related to prepaid insurance costs, are recognized as an expense in the period incurred.

Fund Financial Statements – The governmental fund financial statements do not present long-term debt. As such, long-term debt is shown in the Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position.

Bond premiums and discounts are recognized during the current period as other financing sources or uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures. Bond proceeds are reported as other financing sources.

Proprietary Fund and Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements use the same principles as those used in the Government-Wide Financial Statements.

I. Self-Insurance

The City is a member of the Central San Joaquin Valley Risk Management Authority (CSJVRMA). The purpose of this group is to minimize liability and workers' compensation expenses for cities in the central San Joaquin Valley. CSJVRMA provides statutory coverage for the City's workers' compensation risks. The City retains liability risks up to \$25,000 and shares risk with the pool to \$10,000,000.

J. Property Taxes

Tulare County is responsible for the assessment, collection, and apportionment of property taxes for all taxing jurisdictions. Secured property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are payable in two installments on November 1 and March 1. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. Property taxes are accounted for in the General Fund and the Private-Purpose Trust Fund (formally the City's Redevelopment Agency). Property tax revenues are recognized when they become measurable and available to finance current liabilities.

The City is permitted by Article XIIIA of the State of California Constitution (Proposition 13) to levy a maximum tax of 1% of assessed value, plus other increases as approved by the voters.

K. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position (balance sheet) will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position (balance sheet) will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time.

L. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid. All encumbrances are liquidated at June 30.

M. Net Position

In the Government-Wide Financial Statements, net position are classified in the following categories:

<u>Net Investment in Capital Assets</u> – This amount consists of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding debt that is attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets.

<u>Restricted</u> – This amount is restricted by external creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of governments.

M. Net Position, Continued

<u>Unrestricted</u> – This amount is all net position that does not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets" or "restricted net position" as defined above.

When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position is available, the City's policy is to apply restricted net position first.

N. Fund Balance

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report fund balance as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, or unassigned based primarily on the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on how specific amounts can be spent.

<u>Nonspendable</u> - Amounts that are not in spendable form (such as inventory) or are required either legally or contractually to be maintained intact.

<u>Restricted</u> - Amounts with constraints placed on their use that are either (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or (b) imposed by law through constitutional or enabling legislation.

<u>Committed</u> - Amounts constrained to specific purposes by the City itself, using the City's highest level of decision-making authority (the City Council). To be reported as committed, amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the City takes the same highest level action to remove or change the constraint. The underlying action that imposed the limitation needs to occur no later than the close of the reporting period.

<u>Assigned</u> - Amounts the City *intends* to use for a specific purpose. Intent can be expressed by the City at either the highest level of decision-making authority or by an official or body to which the City delegates the authority. This is also the classification for residual funds in the City's special revenue funds.

<u>Unassigned</u> - The residual classification for the City's General Fund that includes amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other funds, the unassigned classification is used only if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceed the amounts restricted, committed, or assigned to those purposes.

The City establishes and modifies or rescinds fund balance commitments by passage of an ordinance or policy. This is typically done through adoption and amendment of the budget. A fund balance commitment is further indicated in the budget as a designation or commitment of the fund, such as approved construction contracts. Assigned fund balance is established by the City through adoption or amendment of the budget or future year budget plan as intended for a specific purpose.

N. Fund Balance, Continued

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, followed by the unrestricted committed, assigned, and unassigned resources as they are needed.

The City believes that sound financial management principles require that sufficient funds be retained by the City to provide a stable financial base at all times. To retain this stable financial base, the City needs to maintain unrestricted fund balance in its funds sufficient to fund cash flows of the City and to provide financial reserves for unanticipated expenditures and/or revenue shortfalls of an emergency nature. Committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balances are considered unrestricted.

The City strives to achieve and maintain unrestricted fund balance in the General Fund sufficient to cover approximately 6 months of working capital at the close of each fiscal year, which exceeds the recommended level (approximately 60 days working capital) promulgated by the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA). However, as of June 30, 2018, the City had a deficit fund balance in its General Fund.

O. Pension

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pension, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the City's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plan (Plan) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

P. Other Post Employment Health Care Benefits (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the City's plan (OPEB Plan) and additions to/deductions from the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. Generally accepted accounting principles require that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used:

| Valuation Date | July 1, 2017 |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Measurement Date | June 30, 2018 |
| Measurement Period | July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 |

Q. Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Basic Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. In addition, estimates affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions.

R. New Pronouncements

In 2018, the City adopted new accounting standards in order to conform to the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements:

- GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions - The objective of this statement is to address reporting by governments that provide other postemployment benefits (OPEB) to their employees and for governments that finance OPEB for employees of other governments. The City restated its beginning net position as part of implementation of this statement.
- GASB Statement No. 81, Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements The objective of this statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting for irrevocable split-interest agreements by providing recognition and measurement guidance for situations in which a government is a beneficiary of the agreement. The requirements of this statement did not apply to the City for the current fiscal year.
- GASB Statement No. 85, Omnibus 2017 The objective of this statement is to address practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. This Statement addresses a variety of topics including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and other postemployment benefits (OPEB). There was no effect on net position as a result of implementation of this statement.
- GASB Statement No. 86, Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues The objective of this statement is to improve consistency in accounting and financial reporting for in-substance defeasance of debt by providing guidance for transactions in which cash and other monetary assets acquired with only existing resources resources other than the proceeds of refunding debt are placed in an irrevocable trust for the sole purpose of extinguishing debt. This Statement also improves accounting and financial reporting for prepaid insurance on debt that is extinguished and notes to financial statements for debt that is defeased in substance. The requirements of this statement did not apply to the City for the current fiscal year.

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash, cash equivalents, and investments are reported in the accompanying basic financial statements as follows:

| | Government-Wide Statement of Net Position | | | | | d Financials | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Governmental Activities | | Business-Type Activities | |] | Fiduciary Funds | Total |
| Cash, cash equivalents and investments Cash overdrafts | \$ | 2,634,012 | \$ | 404,565 (126,168) | \$ | 946,031 | \$ 3,984,608 (126,168) |
| Total | \$ | 2,634,012 | \$ | 278,397 | \$ | 946,031 | \$ 3,858,440 |

A. Summary of Cash and Investments

Cash, cash equivalents, and investments as of June 30, 2018, consist of the following:

| Cash on hand | \$ 700 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Deposits with financial institution | 3,253,783 |
| Total cash on hand and deposits | 3,254,483 |
| | |
| Local Agency Investment Fund | 417,953 |
| Certificate of Deposits | 186,004 |
| Total investments | 603,957 |
| Total City Treasury | 3,858,440 |
| Total cash and investments | \$ 3,858,440 |

B. Deposits

The carrying amount of the City's cash deposit was \$3,253,783 at June 30, 2018. Bank balances before reconciling items were a positive amount of \$3,805,742 at June 30, 2018. The City's cash deposit was fully insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The remaining amount was collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institutions in the City's name.

The California Government Code (Code) Section 53652 requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure the City's cash deposits by pledging securities as collateral. The Code states that collateral pledged in this manner shall have the effect of perfecting a security interest in such collateral superior to those of a general creditor. Thus, collateral for cash deposits is considered to be held in the City's name.

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

B. Deposits, Continued

The market value of pledged securities must equal at least 110% of the City's cash deposits. California law also allows institutions to secure City deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the City's total cash deposits.

The City follows the practice of pooling cash and investments of all funds, except for funds required to be held by fiscal agents under the provisions of bond indentures. Interest income earned on pooled cash and investments is allocated to the various funds based on the period-end cash and investment balances. Interest income from cash and investments with fiscal agents is credited directly to the related fund.

C. Investments

Under the provisions of the City's investment policy, and in accordance with the Code, the following investments are authorized:

| | Maximum | Maximum Percentage of | Maximum Investment in |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Authorized Investment Type | Maturity | Portfolio | One Issuer |
| U.S. Treasury Securities | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Agency Securities | 5 years | None | None |
| Bankers Acceptances | 270 days | 30% | 30% |
| Certificates of Deposit | 5 years | None | 10% |
| Passbook Deposits | N/A | None | None |
| Repurchase Agreements | 1 year | 30% | None |
| Mutual Funds | N/A | 15% | None |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | N/A | 25% | 10% |
| Commercial Paper | 180 days | 30% | None |
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) | On demand | None | None |

Investments are stated at fair value using the aggregate method in all funds, resulting in the following investment income in all funds for the year ended June 30, 2018:

| Interest income | \$ 5,913 |
|---|-------------|
| Unrealized loss on changes in fair value of investments | (783) |
| Total investment income | \$ 5,130 |

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

C. Investments, Continued

The City's portfolio value fluctuates in an inverse relationship to any change in interest rate. Accordingly, if interest rates rise, the portfolio value will decline. If interest rates fall, the portfolio value will rise. The portfolio for year-end reporting purposes is treated as if it were all sold. Therefore, fund balance must reflect the portfolio's change in value. These portfolio value changes are unrealized unless sold. Generally the City's practice is to buy and hold investments until maturity dates. Consequently, the City's investments are carried at fair value.

The City is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The City's investments with LAIF at June 30, 2018, include a portion of the pool funds invested in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities. These investments include the following:

<u>Structured Notes</u> – are debt securities (other than asset-backed securities) whose cash flow characteristics (coupon rate, redemption amount, or stated maturity) depend upon one or more indices and/or have embedded forwards or options.

<u>Asset-Backed Securities</u> – the bulk of which are mortgage-backed securities, entitle their purchasers to receive a share of the cash flows from a pool of assets such as principal and interest repayments from a pool of mortgages (such as Collateralized Mortgage Obligations) or credit card receivables.

As of June 30, 2018, the City had \$417,953 invested in LAIF, which had invested 2.67% of the pool investment funds in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities as compared to 2.89% in the previous year. The LAIF fair value factor of 0.998126869 was used to calculate the fair value of the investments in LAIF.

D. Risk Disclosures

Interest Risk: Interest rate risk is the market value fluctuation due to overall changes in the interest rates. It is mitigated by limiting the average maturity of the City's portfolio not to exceed three years.

Investments held in the City Treasury grouped by maturity date at June 30, 2018, are shown below:

| | | Remaining Maturity (in Months) | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----|---------------|----|--------------|---------------|---|
| Investment Type | Total | | 12 Months or Less | | - 24 onths | _0 | - 60 nths | More 60 Mo | |
| Certificates of Deposit Local Agency Investment Fund | \$ 186,004 417,953 | \$ | 186,004 417,953 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total | \$ 603,957 | \$ | 603,957 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

D. Risk Disclosures, Continued

Custodial Credit Risk: For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the City will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. None of the City's investments were subject to custodial credit risk.

E. Investment Valuation

Investments (except for money market accounts, time deposits, and commercial paper) are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. *Recurring* fair value measurements, are those that Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements require or permit in the statement of net position at the end of each reporting period. Fair value measurements are categorized based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

Investment fair value measurements at June 30, 2018, are described below:

| | | Fair Value Measurement Using | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Investment Type | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | | | |
| Investments subject to leveling: Certificates of Deposit | \$ 186,004 | \$ 186,004 | \$ - | \$- | | | | | |
| Total | 186,004 | \$ 186,004 | \$ - | \$- | | | | | |
| Investments not subject to leveling: Local Agency Investment Fund | 417,953 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Investments | \$ 603,957 | | | | | | | | |

3. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

A. Due To/From Other Funds

At June 30, 2018, the City had no due to/from other fund balances.

B. Transfers

The City had the following transfers between funds during the year ended June 30, 2018:

| | Transfers In | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|-----|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|---------|-------|-----------|
| Transfers Out | General Fund | Dev | nmunity elopment Nonmajor Fund Funds | | McDermont Sports Complex Fund | | Wellness Center Fund | | | Total | |
| General Fund | \$ - | \$ | 18,040 | \$ | 27,938 | \$ | 720,635 | \$ | 801,794 | \$ | 1,568,407 |
| Nonmajor Funds | 35,000 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 35,000 |
| Water Fund | 1,906,796 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 1,906,796 |
| Sewer Fund | 2,354,466 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 2,354,466 |
| Refuse Fund | 401,921 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | 401,921 |
| Total | \$ 4,698,183 | \$ | 18,040 | \$ | 27,938 | \$ | 720,635 | \$ | 801,794 | \$ | 6,266,590 |

Transfers from the Water Fund, Sewer Fund, and Refuse Fund to the General Fund were to vacate advances not expected to be repaid. Transfers from the General Fund to the McDermont Sports Complex Fund and Wellness Center Fund were to resolve negative cash balances.

C. Advanced To/From Other Funds

At June 30, 2018, the City had the following advances to/from balances resulting from the conversion of prior years' due to/from transactions into advances pursuant to a resolution by the City Council.

| | Advance From | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|----|-----------|--|--|
| Advance To | Community Development Fund Total | | | | | |
| General Fund | \$ | 2,583,360 | \$ | 2,583,360 | | |
| Total | \$ | 2,583,360 | \$ | 2,583,360 | | |

City of Lindsay Notes to Basic Financial Statements For the year ended June 30, 2018

4. Loans and Notes Receivable

A. Notes Receivable

At June 30, 2018, the City's loans and notes receivable consisted of the following:

| | neral Ind | Community Developmer Fund | r | (| urb and Gutter Fund | Private- Purpose Trust Fund | | Total |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------|---|----|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Individuals 7% unsecurred notes with annual principal and interest payments. | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 10,204 | \$- | : | \$ 10,204 |
| Business loans | 733 | | - | | - | - | | 733 |
| Non-interest and below-market rate secured notes with deferred payments of monthly principal and interest. Collateralized by | | | | | | | | |
| trust deeds on improved property. | - | 13,882,844 | 4 | | - | 1,225,836 | | 15,108,680 |
| Total | \$ 733 | \$13,882,844 | 4 | \$ | 10,204 | \$ 1,225,836 | | \$15,119,617 |

B. Related Party Transactions

The City has entered into various loan agreements with City employees and relatives of City employees, under its First-Time Homebuyer and Micro-Loan Programs. The various loan types provided included Deferred Payment Loans (DPL), Deferred No Interest Loans (DNIL), No Interest Loans (NIL), and Below Market Interest Rate Loans (BMIR). All of the loan types mentioned are allowed under the programs. However, as discussed in Note 15, some of the loans were not compliant with the programs requirements. Detail of these related party transactions is provided below:

| | June 30, 2018 | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Related Party Loans | | | |
| Employee Loans | | | |
| Deferred Payment Loans | \$ | 937,771 | |
| Deferred No Interest Loans | | 110,706 | |
| No Interest Loans | | 4,140 | |
| Below Market Interest Rate Loans | | 70,901 | |
| Total Employee Loans | | 1,123,518 | |
| Loans to Employees' Relatives | | | |
| Deferred Payment Loans | | 773,950 | |
| Deferred No Interest Loans | | 115,993 | |
| No Interest Loans | | 12,630 | |
| Below Market Interest Loans | | 240,324 | |
| Total Loans to Employees' Relatives | | 1,142,897 | |
| Total Related Party Loans | \$ | 2,266,415 | |

5. CAPITAL ASSETS

A. Government-Wide Financial Statements

Capital assets activity for the year ended June 30, 2018, was as follows.

| | J | uly 1, 2017 Balance | Additions | Reductions | | Jı | une 30, 2018 Balance |
|--|----|------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|----|-------------------------|
| Governmental activities | | | | | | | |
| Capital assets, not being depreciated | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$ | 771,699 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 771,699 |
| Construction in progress | | - | 167,412 | | - | | 167,412 |
| Total capital assets, not being depreciated | | 771,699 | 167,412 | | - | | 939,111 |
| Capital assets, being depreciated | | | | | | | |
| Buildings | | 3,985,066 | - | | - | | 3,985,066 |
| Infrastructure | | 39,275,754 | 352,151 | | - | | 39,627,905 |
| Machinery and equipment | | 3,323,053 | - | | - | | 3,323,053 |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated | | 46,583,873 | 352,151 | | - | | 46,936,024 |
| Less accumulated depreciation for: | | | | | | | |
| Buildings | | (1,296,324) | (72,410) | | - | | (1,368,734) |
| Infrastructure | | (19,040,701) | (811,792) | | - | | (19,852,493) |
| Machinery and equipment | | (3,178,979) | (50,467) | | - | | (3,229,446) |
| Total accumulated depreciation | | (23,516,004) | (934,669) | | - | | (24,450,673) |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated, net | | 23,067,869 | (582,518) | | - | | 22,485,351 |
| Governmental activities capital assets, net | \$ | 23,839,568 | \$ (415,106) | \$ | - | \$ | 23,424,462 |
| Business-type activities | | | | | | | |
| Capital assets, not being depreciated | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$ | 697,259 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 697,259 |
| Construction in progress | | 96,763 | 258,045 | | - | | 354,808 |
| Total capital assets, not being depreciated | | 794,022 | 258,045 | | - | | 1,052,067 |
| Capital assets, being depreciated | | | | | | | |
| Buildings | | 43,524,734 | - | | - | | 43,524,734 |
| Infrastructure | | 22,858,219 | - | | - | | 22,858,219 |
| Machinery and equipment | | 1,969,173 | 21,999 | | - | | 1,991,172 |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated | | 68,352,126 | 21,999 | | - | | 68,374,125 |
| Less accumulated depreciation for: | | | | | | | |
| Buildings | | (9,839,018) | (870,495) | | - | | (10,709,513) |
| Infrastructure | | (9,779,095) | (457,165) | | - | | (10,236,260) |
| Machinery and equipment | | (1,777,719) | (83,064) | | - | | (1,860,783) |
| Total accumulated depreciation | | (21,395,832) | (1,410,724) | | - | | (22,806,556) |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated, net | | 46,956,294 | (1,388,725) | | - | | 45,567,569 |
| Business-type activities capital assets, net | \$ | 47,750,316 | \$ (1,130,680) | \$ | - | \$ | 46,619,636 |

5. CAPITAL ASSETS, Continued

A. Government-Wide Financial Statements, Continued

Depreciation expense by program for capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2018, was as follows:

| | Governmental | | | Bu | siness-Type |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|----|-------------|
| General government | \$ | 30,777 | Water Fund | \$ | 284,833 |
| Community development | | 37,133 | Sewer Fund | | 393,950 |
| Public safety | | 19,690 | Refuse Fund | | - |
| Public works | | 811,792 | McDermont Sports Complex Fund | | 535,306 |
| Library | | 35,277 | Wellness Center Fund | | 196,635 |
| Total depreciation expense | \$ | 934,669 | Total depreciation expense | \$ | 1,410,724 |

6. LONG-TERM DEBT

A schedule of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2018, is shown below.

| | Balance July 1, 2017 | Additions | Deletions | Balance June 30, 2018 | Due Within One Year |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Governmental Activities | | | | | |
| Certificates of Participation (COP) | | | | | |
| 2008 USDA RD Roads COP | \$ 1,113,781 | \$ - | \$ (72,964) | \$ 1,040,817 | \$ 76,065 |
| 2010 USDA RD Library COP | 450,441 | | (18,415) | 432,026 | 19,152 |
| Total COP | 1,564,222 | - | (91,379) | 1,472,843 | 95,217 |
| Compensated Absences | 162,523 | 147,868 | (128,400) | 181,991 | 60,057 |
| Total Governmental Activities | 1,726,745 | 147,868 | (219,779) | 1,654,834 | 155,274 |
| Business-Type Activities | | | | | |
| Certificates of Participation (COP) | | | | | |
| 2007 USDA RD Wellness Center COP | 2,064,678 | - | (34,741) | 2,029,937 | 45,116 |
| Revenue Bonds | | | | | |
| 1993 CSCDA Refunding Bonds | 30,724 | - | (14,822) | 15,902 | 15,902 |
| 1999 USDA RD Waste Water Expansion | 5,181,655 | - | (155,066) | 5,026,589 | 160,106 |
| 2000 USDA RD Water Line Project | 1,771,025 | - | (52,322) | 1,718,703 | 52,231 |
| 2004 USDA RD Waste Water Project | 392,581 | - | (8,448) | 384,133 | 8,817 |
| 2012 Taxable Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds | 1,445,000 | - | (110,000) | 1,335,000 | 115,000 |
| Bond Issuance Discount | (29,584) | - | 3,480 | (26,104) | - |
| Total COP and Revenue Bonds | 10,856,079 | | (371,919) | 10,484,160 | 397,172 |
| Lindsay Olive Growers Pond Closure | 2,570,981 | - | - | 2,570,981 | - |
| Compensated Absences | 67,589 | 50,747 | (77,033) | 41,303 | 15,087 |
| Total Business-Type Activities | \$ 13,494,649 | \$ 50,747 | \$ (448,952) | \$ 13,096,444 | \$ 412,259 |

A. Governmental Activities

Certificates of Participation (COP)

On October 1, 2008, the City entered into a COP with the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development Agency (USDA RD) for Tulare Road in the amount of \$1,600,000. The COP has annual principal and interest approximately \$120,000 a year at 4.5% through 2029. As of June 30, 2018, the balance of the COP was \$1,040,817.

Year Ended June 30 Principal Interest Total \$ 2019 76,065 \$ 43,414 \$ 119,479 2020 79,298 39,845 119,143 118,793 2021 82,668 36,125 2022 86,182 32,247 118,429 2023 89,844 28,204 118,048 74,100 2024-2028 509,848 583,948 2029 116,912 116,912

1,040,817

The annual debt service requirements for the 2008 USDA RD Roads COP are as follows:

On May 12, 2010, the City entered into a COP with the USDA RD for the construction of the Library Project in the amount of \$750,000. The COP has annual principal and interest payments of approximately \$37,000 a year at 4.125% through 2040. As of June 30, 2018, the balance of the COP was \$432,026.

\$

253,935

\$ 1,294,752

The annual debt service requirements for the 2010 USDA RD Library COP are as follows:

\$

Total

| Year Ended | | | | | | | |
|------------|----|-----------|----|---------|-------|---------|--|
| June 30 | Pi | Principal | | nterest | Total | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ | 19,152 | \$ | 17,821 | \$ | 36,973 | |
| 2020 | | 19,918 | | 17,031 | | 36,949 | |
| 2021 | | 20,714 | | 16,209 | | 36,923 | |
| 2022 | | 21,543 | | 15,355 | | 36,898 | |
| 2023 | | 22,405 | | 14,466 | | 36,871 | |
| 2024-2028 | | 126,205 | | 57,707 | | 183,912 | |
| 2029-2033 | | 153,548 | | 29,510 | | 183,058 | |
| 2034-2038 | | 48,541 | | 8,336 | | 56,877 | |
| Total | \$ | 432,026 | \$ | 176,435 | \$ | 608,461 | |

B. Business-type Activities

Certification of Participation (COP)

On June 20, 2007, the City entered into a COP with USDA RD for the Wellness Center in the amount of \$1,130,689. The total amount of the COP will be \$3,000,000 if totally drawn. The Wellness Center Fund has annual principal and interest payments of approximately \$129,000 a year at 4.25% through 2048. As of June 30, 2018, the balance of the COP was \$2,029,937.

The annual debt service requirements for the 2007 USDA RD Wellness Center COP are as follows:

| Year Ended June 30 |] | Principal | Interest | | Total | | |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|----|-----------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ | 45,116 | \$ 83,992 | \$ | 129,108 | | |
| 2020 | | 47,034 | 81,993 | | 129,027 | | |
| 2021 | | 49,033 | 79,909 | | 128,942 | | |
| 2022 | | 51,117 | 77,737 | | 128,854 | | |
| 2023 | 53,289 | | 75,472 | | 128,761 | | |
| 2024-2028 | | 302,405 | 339,873 | | 642,278 | | |
| 2029-2033 | | 372,366 | 266,939 | | 639,305 | | |
| 2034-2038 | | 458,512 | 177,132 | | 635,644 | | |
| 2039-2043 | | 564,588 | 66,548 | | 631,136 | | |
| 2044-2048 | | 86,477 | | | 86,477 | | |
| Total | \$ | 2,029,937 | \$ 1,249,595 | \$ | 3,279,532 | | |

Revenue Bonds Payable

On June 1, 1993, the City refinanced with the California Statewide Communities Development Authority (CSCDA) an existing loan that assisted in financing construction of a project, which enables the City to meet safe drinking water standards. The bond amount of \$197,054 at 7.125% has semi-annual principal and interest payments of \$8,377 through June 1, 2019. The bond is secured by a first pledge of a lien on all of the pledged water revenues. As of June 30, 2018, the balance of the revenue bond was \$15,902.

The annual debt service requirements for the 1993 CSCDA bond are as follows:

| Year Ended June 30 | Pr | incipal | Int | terest | Total |
|-----------------------|----|---------|-----|--------|--------------|
| 2019 | \$ | 15,902 | \$ | 852 | \$ 16,754 |
| Total | \$ | 15,902 | \$ | 852 | \$ 16,754 |

B. Business-Type Activities, Continued

Revenue Bonds Payable, Continued

On November 5, 1999, the City entered into a revenue bond with the USDA RD for the Waste Water Treatment Plant Project for \$7,000,000. The annual principal and interest payments of \$323,470 at 3.25% are through November 27, 2039. As of June 30, 2018, the balance due was \$5,026,589.

The annual debt service requirements for 1999 USDA RD Waste Water expansion bond are as follows:

| Year Ended | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| June 30 | Principal | Interest | Total | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ 160,106 | \$ 163,364 | \$ 323,470 | | |
| 2020 | 165,309 | 158,161 | 323,470 | | |
| 2021 | 170,682 | 152,788 | 323,470 | | |
| 2022 | 176,229 | 147,241 | 323,470 | | |
| 2023 | 181,956 | 141,514 | 323,470 | | |
| 2024-2028 | 1,002,425 | 614,925 | 1,617,350 | | |
| 2029-2033 | 1,176,257 | 441,093 | 1,617,350 | | |
| 2034-2038 | 1,380,233 | 237,117 | 1,617,350 | | |
| 2039-2040 | 613,392 | 30,006 | 643,398 | | |
| Total | \$ 5,026,589 | \$ 2,086,209 | \$ 7,112,798 | | |

On December 11, 2000, the City entered into a revenue bond with the USDA RD for the Water Line Project for \$2,440,000. The annual principal and interest payments of \$109,874 at 3.25% are through December 11, 2040. As of June 30, 2018, the balance due was \$1,718,703.

The annual debt service requirements for 2000 USDA RD Water Line Project bond are as follows:

| Year Ended | | | | | | | |
|------------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|--|
| June 30 |] | Principal | | Interest | | Total | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$ | 52,231 | \$ | 57,643 | \$ | 109,874 | |
| 2020 | | 55,777 | | 54,097 | | 109,874 | |
| 2021 | | 57,590 | | 52,284 | | 109,874 | |
| 2022 | | 59,462 | | 50,412 | | 109,874 | |
| 2023 | | 61,394 | | 48,480 | | 109,874 | |
| 2024-2028 | | 338,229 | | 211,141 | | 549,370 | |
| 2029-2033 | | 396,881 | | 152,489 | | 549,370 | |
| 2034-2038 | | 465,705 | | 83,665 | | 549,370 | |
| 2039-2041 | | 231,434 | | 9,799 | | 241,233 | |
| Total | \$ | 1,718,703 | \$ | 720,010 | \$ | 2,438,713 | |

B. Business-Type Activities, Continued

Revenue Bonds Payable, Continued

On June 22, 2004, the City entered into a revenue bond with the USDA RD for the Waste Water Project for \$480,000. The annual principal and interest payments of \$25,623 at 4.375% are through June 28, 2044. As of June 30, 2018, the balance due was \$384,133.

Year Ended June 30 Principal Interest Total 2019 \$ 8,817 \$ 16,806 \$ 25,623 2020 9,203 16,420 25,623 2021 16,017 9,606 25,623 15,597 2022 10,026 25,623 15,159 2023 10,464 25,623 2024-2028 59,603 68,512 128,115 2029-2033 73,833 54,282 128,115 2034-2038 91,461 36,654 128,115 2039-2043 14,818 125,938 111,120 384,133 \$ 254,265 \$ 638,398 Total \$

The annual debt service requirements for 2004 USDA RD Waste Water Project are as follows:

On November 1, 2012, the City entered into a refunding bond with US Bank National Association for refunding of the City's \$1,500,000 Mid Valley Services, Inc., promissory note dated November 19, 2009. The annual principal payments are due annually beginning on January 1, 2014. Interest ranging from 4.0% to 6.4% on the bonds is payable on January 1st and July 1st of each year, commencing on July 1, 2013. As of June 30, 2018, the balance due was \$1,335,000.

The annual service debt service requirements for 2012 Taxable Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds are as follows:

| Principal | | Interest | | Total | |
|-----------|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| \$ | 115,000 | \$ | 79,250 | \$ | 194,250 |
| | 125,000 | | 73,213 | | 198,213 |
| | 130,000 | | 66,338 | | 196,338 |
| | 140,000 | | 58,863 | | 198,863 |
| | 145,000 | | 50,813 | | 195,813 |
| | 680,000 | | 108,988 | | 788,988 |
| \$ | 1,335,000 | \$ | 437,465 | \$ | 1,772,465 |
| | \$ | \$ 115,000 125,000 130,000 140,000 145,000 680,000 | \$ 115,000 \$ 125,000 130,000 140,000 145,000 680,000 | \$ 115,000 \$ 79,250 125,000 73,213 130,000 66,338 140,000 58,863 145,000 50,813 680,000 108,988 | \$ 115,000 \$ 79,250 \$ 125,000 73,213 130,000 66,338 140,000 58,863 145,000 50,813 680,000 108,988 |

C. Compensated Leaves Payable

Employees accrue vacation, annual leave, earned time off, and holiday leave up to certain maximums, based on the employee's bargaining unit.

The City accrues the liability for compensated leave as it is earned by employees. The amount of compensated leaves payable outstanding was \$223,293 as of June 30, 2018.

The amount due within one year of \$60,057 for Governmental Activities and \$15,087 for Business-Type Activities represents the estimated amount for anticipated retirees. The City typically uses the General Fund to liquidate the liability for compensated absences for governmental funds.

7. CITY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN

Plan Description

All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the Public Agency Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Plan) administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). The Plan consists of individual rate plans (benefit tiers) within a safety risk pool (police and fire) and a miscellaneous risk pool (all other). Plan assets may be used to pay benefits for any employer rate plan of the safety and miscellaneous risk pools. Accordingly, rate plans within the safety or miscellaneous pools are not separate plans under GASB Statement No. 68. Individual employers may sponsor more than one rate plan in the miscellaneous or safety risk pools. The City sponsors four rate plans (two miscellaneous and two safety). Benefit provisions under the Plan are established by State statute and City resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions, and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

Benefit Provided

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employee's Retirement Law.

Benefit Provided, Continued

The rate plan provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2018 are summarized as follows:

| | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous PEPRA |
|--|---|--|
| Hire Date | 1/1/1960 | 1/1/2013 |
| Benefit formla | 2.7% @ 55 | 2.0% @ 62 |
| Benefit vesting schedule | 55 w/5 year service credit | 62 w/5 year service credit |
| Benefit payment | 2.7% Avg. highest 12 mos | 2.0% Avg. highest 36 mos |
| Retirement age | 55 | 62 |
| Monthly benefits, as a % of annual salary | 2.7% | 2.0% |
| Required employee contribution rates | 8.000% | 6.250% |
| Required employer contribution rates | 11.675% | 6.533% |
| Required unfunded liability payment | \$439,228 | \$514 |
| | | |
| | Safety | Safety PEPRA |
| | 5 | 5 |
| Hire Date | 1/1/1960 | 1/1/2013 |
| Hire Date Benefit formla | 1/1/1960 3.0% @ 55 | |
| | | 1/1/2013 |
| Benefit formla | 3.0% @ 55 | 1/1/2013 2.7% @ 57 |
| Benefit formla Benefit vesting schedule | 3.0% @ 55 55 w/5 year service credit | 1/1/2013 2.7% @ 57 57 w/5 year service credit |
| Benefit formla Benefit vesting schedule Benefit payment | 3.0% @ 55 55 w/5 year service credit 3.0% Avg. highest 12 mos | 1/1/2013 2.7% @ 57 57 w/5 year service credit 2.7% Avg. highest 36 mos |
| Benefit formla Benefit vesting schedule Benefit payment Retirement age | 3.0% @ 55 55 w/5 year service credit 3.0% Avg. highest 12 mos 55 | 1/1/2013 2.7% @ 57 57 w/5 year service credit 2.7% Avg. highest 36 mos 57 |
| Benefit formla Benefit vesting schedule Benefit payment Retirement age Monthly benefits, as a % of annual salary | 3.0% @ 55 55 w/5 year service credit 3.0% Avg. highest 12 mos 55 3.0% | 1/1/2013 2.7% @ 57 57 w/5 year service credit 2.7% Avg. highest 36 mos 57 2.7% |
| Benefit formla Benefit vesting schedule Benefit payment Retirement age Monthly benefits, as a % of annual salary Required employee contribution rates | 3.0% @ 55 55 w/5 year service credit 3.0% Avg. highest 12 mos 55 3.0% 9.000% | 1/1/2013 2.7% @ 57 57 w/5 year service credit 2.7% Avg. highest 36 mos 57 2.7% 11.500% |

Contributions – Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers are to be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for the Plan is determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

The City's Contributions to the Plan for the measurement period ended June 30, 2017 were \$1,042,266.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2018, the City reported a net pension liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of the Plan of \$9,168,480.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions, Continued

The City's net pension liability for the Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the total net pension liability of the Plan. The net pension liability of the Plan is measured as of June 30, 2016, and the total pension liability for the Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 rolled forward to June 30, 2017 using standard update procedures. The City's proportionate of the net pension liability was based on the City's plan liability and asset-related information where available, and proportional allocations of individual plan amounts as of the valuation date where not available.

The City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan as of June 30, 2016 and 2017 were as follows:

| Proportion - June 30, 2016 | 0.09544% |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Proportion - June 30, 2017 | 0.09245% |
| Change - Increase (Decrease) | -0.00299% |

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the City recognized pension expense of \$1,560,169. At June 30, 2018, the City reported deferred outflow of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension from the following sources:

| | Deferred Outflows of Resources | | Deferred Inflows of Resources | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Pension contributions subsequent to | | | | |
| measurement date | \$ | 1,021,760 | \$ | - |
| Changes of assumptions | | 1,331,962 | | 101,859 |
| Different between expected and actual | | | | |
| experience | | - | | 43,577 |
| Changes in employer's proportion | | 129,724 | | 55,385 |
| Differences between the employer's | | | | |
| contributione and the employer's | | | | |
| proportionate share of contributions | | 226,023 | | - |
| Net differences between projected and actual | | | | |
| earning on plan investments | | 296,134 | | - |
| Total | \$ | 3,005,603 | \$ | 200,821 |

\$1,021,760 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending June 30, 2019.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions, Continued

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| Fiscal Year | |
|-----------------|---------------|
| Ending June 30: | |
| 2019 | \$ 510,038 |
| 2020 | 889,182 |
| 2021 | 558,447 |
| 2022 | (174,645) |

Actuarial Assumptions – The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| Valuation Date | | June 30, 2016 |
|---------------------------|-----|---|
| Measurement Date | | June 30, 2017 |
| Actuarial Cost Method | | Entry-Age Normal Cost Method |
| Actuarial Assumptions: | | |
| Discount Rate | | 7.15% |
| Inflation | | 2.75% |
| Projected Salary Increase | | Varies by entry age and service |
| Investment Rate of Return | (1) | 7.15% |
| Mortality | | Derived by CalPERS membership data for all funds |
| | | |

(1) Net of pension plan administrative expenses

The underlying mortality assumptions and all other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 valuation were based on the results of a January 2014 actuarial experience study for the period 1997 to 2011. Further details of the Experience Study can found on the CalPERS website.

Discount Rate – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15% for the Plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for the Plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.15 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate of 7.15 percent will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions, Continued

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and longterm market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

| Asset Class | New Strategic Allocation | Real Return Years 1 - 10(a) | Real Return Years 11+(b) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Global Equity | 47.00% | 4.90% | 5.38% |
| Global Fixed Income | 19.00% | 0.80% | 2.27% |
| Inflation Sensitive | 6.00% | 0.60% | 1.39% |
| Private Equity | 12.00% | 6.60% | 6.63% |
| Real Estate | 11.00% | 2.80% | 5.21% |
| Infrastructure and Forestland | 3.00% | 3.90% | 5.36% |
| Liquidity | 2.00% | -0.40% | -0.90% |
| Total | 100% | | |

(a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.

(b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

7. CITY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN, Continued

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions, Continued

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate – The following presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the Plan, calculated using the discount rate for the Plan, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

| 1% Decrease | 6.15% |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Net Pension Liability | \$ 13,563,592 |
| | |
| Current Discount Rate | 7.15% |
| Net Pension Liability | \$ 9,168,480 |
| 2 | |
| 1% Increase | 8.15% |
| Net Pension Liability | \$ 5,550,714 |

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

Payable to the Pension Plan

At June 30, 2018 the City reported no amount payable for outstanding amount of contributions to the pension plan required for the year ended June 30, 2018.

8. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN PROGRAM

The City uses Housing and Community Development Block Grant funds to provide housing rehabilitation loans and HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) grant funds to provide first-time homebuyer assistance loans and housing rehabilitation loans to eligible applicants. Rehabilitation loans are used to improve, rehabilitate, or replace residences. All loans are made to low and moderate income persons or landlords benefiting these same persons. The City accounts for this program in the Community Development Fund. This fund's primary assets consist of notes receivable from participants, which originated from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds.

9. JOINT VENTURE - SELF-INSURANCE PROGRAM

The City is a member of the Central San Joaquin Valley Risk Management Authority (CSJVRMA). CSJVRMA is a consortium of fifty-four (54) cities in the San Joaquin Valley, California, established under the provisions of California Government Code Section 6500, et seq. CSJVRMA provides risk coverage for its members through the pooling of risks and purchased insurance. This coverage extends to workers' compensation and general liability. CSJVRMA is governed by a board consisting of one board member appointed by each member agency and meets three to four times a year. The board has contracted with a management group to supervise and conduct CSJVRMA affairs.

The relationship between the City and CSJVRMA is such that CSJVRMA is not a component unit of the City for financial reporting purposes.

In the event of termination and after all claims have been settled, any excess or deficit will be divided among the agencies in accordance with an approved formula.

General Liability Insurance: Annual deposits are paid by member cities and are adjusted retrospectively to cover costs. The City is covered for the first \$1,000,000 of each general liability claim. The City has the right to receive dividends or the obligation to pay assessments based on a formula, which among other expenses, charges the City's account for liability under \$25,000. CSJVRMA participates in an excess pool that provides general liability coverage from \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000.

Workers' Compensation: The workers' compensation program includes pooling of retained losses plus excess insurance. Annual deposits are paid by member cities and are adjusted retrospectively on an annual basis to cover costs and reflect claims experience of both the individual member and the pool. The City is covered for the first \$250,000 of each workers' compensation claim through CSJVRMA. The City has the right to receive dividends or the obligation to pay assessments based on a formula, which among other expenses, charges the City's account for workers' compensation losses under \$250,000. CSJVRMA participates in an excess pool that provides workers' compensation coverage from \$250,000 to \$500,000 and purchases excess insurance above the statutory limit.

There have been no significant changes in insurance coverage as compared to last year, and settlements have not exceeded coverage in each of the past three fiscal years.

10. POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN

A. Plan Description

The City administers the City's retired employees' healthcare plan, a single employer defined benefit health care plan. The plan provides continuation of medical, dental, and vision coverage to qualifying retiring employees. City resolutions and agreements assign the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions to the City. A separate OPEB trust account has not been established by the City for the plan.

10. POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, Continued

B. Employees Covered

As of the July 1, 2017 actuarial valuation, the following current and former employees were covered by the benefit terms under the Retiree Health Plan:

| Active employees | 38 |
|--|----|
| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 4 |
| Total | 42 |

C. Contribution

The contribution requirements of plan members and the City are established and may be amended by the City. The required contribution is based on a projected pay-as-you-go financing requirements, with additional amounts to prefund benefits determined annually by the City Council. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the City contributed \$31,041 (including implicit subsidy) and zero to prefund benefits. Plan members receiving benefits contributed no amounts to the total premiums. The General Fund has typically been used to liquidate OPEB liabilities for governmental funds.

D. Net OPEB Liability

The City's net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2018 and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation dated July 1, 2017 using the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

| Actuarial Assumtions: | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Discount Rate | 3.90% |
| Inflation | 2.25% |
| Salaries Increases | 3.00% |
| Mortality ⁽¹⁾ | Based on RP-2014 Employee and Healthy Annuitant Mortality Tables |
| Healthcare Cost Trend Rates | 5.00% to 8.00% |

⁽¹⁾ Mortality rates were based on the RP-2014 Employee and Healthy Annuitant Mortality Tables for males or females, as appropriate, projected using a generational projection based on 100% of scale MP-2016 for years 2014-2029, 50% of MP-2016 for years 2030-2049, and 20% of MP-2016 for 2050 and thereafter.

10. POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, Continued

E. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 3.90%. The City's OPEB plan is an unfunded plan, therefore the discount rate was set to the rate of tax-exempt, high quality 20-year municipal bonds, as of the valuation date.

F. Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

The changes in the net OPEB liability for the OPEB plan are as follows:

| | Т | otal OPEB |
|--------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| | | Liability |
| Balance at June 30, 2017 | \$ | 1,391,268 |
| Service cost | | 79,370 |
| Interest on the total OPEB liability | | 52,405 |
| Differences between actual | | |
| and expected exerience | | 1,127 |
| Changes in assumptions | | (52,488) |
| Benefits paid to retirees | | (31,041) |
| Net changes | | 49,373 |
| Balance at June 30, 2018 | \$ | 1,440,641 |

G. Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate for the measurement period ended June 30, 2018:

| 1% Decrease Net OPEB liability | \$ 2.90% 1,623,896 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Current Discount Rate Net OPEB liability | \$ 3.90% 1,440,641 |
| 1% Increase Net OPEB liability | \$ 4.90% 1,280,512 |

10. POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, Continued

H. Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Health Care Cost Trend Rates

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City if it were calculated using health care cost trend rates that are one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate for the measurement period ended June 30, 2018:

| 1% Decrease | 4.00% to 7.00% |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Net OPEB liability | \$ 1,230,882 |
| | |
| Current Discount Rate | 5.00% to 8.00% |
| Net OPEB liability | \$ 1,440,641 |
| | |
| 1% Increase | 6.00% to 9.00% |
| Net OPEB liability | \$ 1,696,907 |

I. OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the City recognized OPEB expense of \$126,594. At June 30, 2018, the City reported deferred outflow of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

| | ed Outflows esources | Deferred Inflows of Resources | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Difference between expected and actual experience | \$ 1,013 | \$ | - | | |
| Changes in assmptions | - | | 47,193 | | |
| Total | \$ 1,013 | \$ | 47,193 | | |

There were no amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the June 30, 2018 measurement date. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized as expense as follows:

| Year Ending June 30 | Deferred Outflows of Resources | | red Inflows Resources |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| 2019 | \$ 114 | \$ | 5,295 |
| 2020 | 114 | | 5,295 |
| 2021 | 114 | | 5,295 |
| 2022 | 114 | | 5,295 |
| 2023 | 114 | | 5,295 |
| 2024-2027 | 443 | | 20,718 |
| Total | \$ 1,013 | \$ | 47,193 |

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Settlement

In August of 2015, the City enacted a furlough program, which cost employees approximately 10% of their monthly check, to save costs due to cash flow issues. As a result of this furlough program, the SEIU brought suit to the City. The City settled with SEIU and agreed to pay 60% of the total furlough taken by employees in 2015 in the form of accumulated compensation time. Employees were able to use the accumulated compensation time beginning January 1, 2017. The accumulated time cannot be cashed out by employees.

Remediation Liability

The City's Sewer Fund is responsible for the contamination of two water basins at the City's sewer plant. The preliminary cost of the clean-up referred to as the Lindsay Olive Growers (LOG) Pond Closure liability is estimated at \$2,570,981. This amount has been accrued as of June 30, 2018. However, additional amounts might be needed in the future in order to clean up the site, and the issue will be reviewed in fiscal year 2018-19 to ascertain if any additional amounts should be accrued.

Contingencies relating to Proposition 218 Noncompliance

Prior to July 1, 2017, the City's General Fund had borrowed against utility funds that are restricted by Proposition 218 to be used for the purpose of providing such utility services. The City subsequently formalized such borrowing by approving interfund loan agreements that specified repayment terms for these borrowings. The City has now subsequently passed a resolution forgiving these loans, and is now noncompliant with Proposition 218. As a result of the noncompliance, there are contingencies relating to potential future lawsuits by rate payers or rate payer advocates.

12. EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER APPROPRIATIONS

For the year ended June 30, 2018, expenditures exceeded appropriations in the individual major funds as follows:

| | | Excess | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------|--|--|
| Fund | Expenditures | | | |
| | | | | |
| Local Transportation Fund | \$ | 130,246 | | |

13. GOING CONCERN

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the City will continue as a going concern.

The City continues to suffer from a significant deficit fund balance in the General Fund. In addition, the General Fund has a significant advance which represents borrowing from other funds. There are serious doubts about the General Fund ability to repay the advances payable without having a significant impact on the City's operation. McDermont Sports Complex Fund and the Wellness Fund continue to experience operating losses. The ability of the City to continue as a going concern and meet its obligations as they become due is dependent on the City's ability to develop and implement a plan that will successfully increase cash flows. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the City is unable to continue as a going concern.

In 2017, the City Council recognized the severity of its financial condition by declaring a fiscal emergency and pursuing a transactions and use tax revenue measure. The successful passing of Measure O brings needed revenue to the City going forward. The City also implemented cost-saving measures by reducing staffing and outsourcing the management of McDermont Sports Complex. Management is making every effort to live within its means, to repay borrowed funds and to build a reserve for the future.

| | General Fund | Community evelopment Fund | Tra | Local nsportation Fund | Gov | onmajor ernmental Funds | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Fund Balances: | 1 | 1 4114 | | 1 4114 | | | |
| Restricted for: | | | | | | | |
| Road construction and maintenance | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 1,601,811 | \$ | 442,558 | \$ 2,044,369 |
| Community Development | - | 16,374,153 | | - | | - | 16,374,153 |
| Curb and gutter | - | - | | - | | 14,816 | 14,816 |
| Transit | - | - | | - | | 7,238 | 7,238 |
| Special assessments | - | - | | - | | 74,344 | 74,344 |
| Gas tax | - | - | | - | | 329,090 | 329,090 |
| Unassigned | (5,667,736) | - | | - | | - | (5,667,736) |
| Total fund balances | \$ (5,667,736) | \$ 16,374,153 | \$ | 1,601,811 | \$ | 868,046 | \$ 13,176,274 |

14. FUND BALANCE

15. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld Assembly Bill X1 26 (the Bill) that provides for the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies in the State of California. This action impacted the City that previously had reported a redevelopment agency blended component unit.

The Bill provides that upon dissolution of a redevelopment agency, either the county or another unit of local government will agree to serve as the "successor agency" to hold the assets until they are distributed to other units of state and local government. On January 10, 2012, the Lindsay City Council adopted a resolution affirming that the City would serve as the successor agency to the former Lindsay Redevelopment Agency (the Agency).

After enactment of the law, which occurred on June 28, 2011, redevelopment agencies in the State of California cannot enter into new projects, obligations, or commitments. Subject to the control of a newly established oversight board, remaining assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments).

In future fiscal years, successor agencies are only to be allocated tax increment revenue in the amount that is necessary to pay the estimated annual installment payments on enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agency until all enforceable obligations of the prior redevelopment agency have been paid in full.

The Bill directs the State Controller of the State of California to review the propriety of any transfers of assets between redevelopment agencies and other public bodies that occurred after January 1, 2011. If the public body that received such transfers is not contractually committed to a third party for the expenditure or encumbrance of those assets, the State Controller is required to order the available assets to be transferred to the public body designated as the successor agency by the Bill.

Management believes, in consultation with legal counsel, that the obligations of the former redevelopment agency due to the City are valid enforceable obligations payable by the successor agency trust under the requirements of the Bill. The City's position on this issue is not a position of settled law and there is considerable legal uncertainty regarding this issue. It is reasonably possible that a legal determination may be made at a later date by an appropriate judicial authority that would resolve this issue unfavorably to the City.

In accordance with the timeline set forth in the Bill (as modified by the California Supreme Court on December 29, 2011) all redevelopment agencies in the State of California were dissolved and ceased to operate as legal entities as of February 1, 2012.

After the date of dissolution, as allowed under Section 341716(a) of the Bill, the City elected to transfer the housing assets and functions previously performed by the Agency. The remaining assets, liabilities, and activities of the dissolved Agency are reported in the Successor Agency fiduciary fund (private- purpose trust fund) in the financial statements of the City.

15. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, Continued

Successor Agency Long-Term Debt

In accordance with the provisions of the Bill and the court case, the obligations of the former redevelopment agency became vested with the funds established for the successor agency upon the date of dissolution, February 1, 2012. Tax increment revenue is pledged to fund the debts of the Successor Agency Trust subject to the reapportionment of such revenues as provided by the Bill.

Successor Agency long-term debt activity for the year ended June 30, 2018 was as follows:

| Successor Agency Trust Activities | Balance at July 1, 2017 | Additions | | Additions | | Additions | | Additions | | Deletions | Balance at June 30, 2018 | Due Within One Year | Due More than One Year |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|---|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bonds payable 2015 Tax Allocation Refunding Bond | \$12,680,000 | \$- | | \$ (395,000) | \$12,285,000 | \$ 410,000 | \$ 11,875,000 | | | | | | |
| Total bonds payable | 12,680,000 | | | (395,000) | 12,285,000 | 410,000 | 11,875,000 | | | | | | |
| Notes payable | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CalHFA - RDLP Loan | 4,168,695 | | - | (1,032,050) | 3,136,645 | 50,000 | 3,086,645 | | | | | | |
| CalHFA - HELP Loan | 435,736 | | - | (435,736) | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total notes payable | 4,604,431 | | - | (1,467,786) | 3,136,645 | 50,000 | 3,086,645 | | | | | | |
| Total Successor Agency Trust Activities | \$17,284,431 | \$ | - | \$(1,862,786) | \$15,421,645 | \$ 460,000 | \$ 14,961,645 | | | | | | |

A. Tax Allocation Bonds Payable

On June 1, 2015, the Successor Agency refunded the 2005, 2007, and 2008 tax allocation bonds in the amounts of \$3,925,000, \$6,895,000, and \$3,270,000, respectively, with the refunding issue of 2015 in the amount of \$13,000,000. The bonds have principal payments each August 1 through 2037 and accrue interest at 3.0% – 5.0%, which is payable semiannually. The bonds are payable solely from pledged tax revenues allocated and paid to the Successor Agency from properties in the project area. As of June 30, 2018, the balance on the bonds was \$12,285,000.

15. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, Continued

A. Tax Allocation Bonds Payable, Continued

The annual debt service requirements for 2015 Tax Allocation Refunding Bond are as follows:

| Year Ended | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|-------|------------|
| June 30 | Principal | | Interest | | Total | |
| 2019 | \$ | 410,000 | \$ | 483,206 | \$ | 893,206 |
| 2020 | | 430,000 | | 466,806 | | 896,806 |
| 2021 | | 435,000 | | 449,606 | | 884,606 |
| 2022 | | 465,000 | | 427,856 | | 892,856 |
| 2023 | | 485,000 | | 404,606 | | 889,606 |
| 2024-2028 | | 2,790,000 | | 1,678,350 | | 4,468,350 |
| 2029-2033 | | 3,305,000 | | 1,167,688 | | 4,472,688 |
| 2034-2038 | | 3,965,000 | | 486,975 | | 4,451,975 |
| Total | \$ | 12,285,000 | \$ | 5,565,093 | \$ | 17,850,093 |

B. Notes Payable

On March 30, 2004, the Agency entered into a loan agreement with the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) for the purpose of assisting the Agency in operating a local housing program through the CalHFA HELP program. The loan is in the amount of \$1,250,000, bears a simple annual interest rate of 3.0%, and repayment of principal and interest is deferred for a term of 10 years from date of the note. On August 21, 2015, the Successor Agency obtained a second amendment to the original loan extending the unpaid balance due date to August 21, 2025 with 0% interest; no future interest accrual. The remaining unpaid balance on the CalHFA - HELP loan was fully repaid during the year ended June 30, 2018.

On August 7, 2007, the Agency entered into a loan agreement with CalHFA for the purpose of assisting the Agency in operating a local housing program through the CalHFA Residential Development Loan Program (RDLP). The loan is in the amount of \$3,690,000, bears a simple annual interest rate of 3.0%, and repayment of principal and interest is deferred for a term of 5 years from date of the note. On August 21, 2015, the Successor Agency obtained a third amendment to the original loan extending the unpaid balance due date to May 7, 2021 with 0% interest; no future interest accrual. As of June 30, 2018, there remained an unpaid balance due on the CalHFA - RDLP loan of \$3,136,645.

15. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, Continued

B. Notes Payable, Continued

The annual debt service requirements for CalHFA - RDLP loan are as follows:

| Year Ended | | | |
|------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|
| June 30 | Principal | Interest | Total |
| 2019 | \$ 50,000 | \$ - | \$ 50,000 |
| 2020 | 50,000 | - | 50,000 |
| 2021 | 3,036,645 | - | 3,036,645 |
| Total | \$ 3,136,645 | \$ - | \$ 3,136,645 |

16. NON-COMPLIANCE WITH GRANTS RULES AND REGULATIONS

A. HUD

The City provides home and business loans using grant funds from the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) department, specifically Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) and HOME Program grant funds through the California Housing and Community Development Department, a sub-division of HUD. The City is working to rectify three distinct issues related to grant funds from HUD via HCD. The following notes, for ease in reading, classify all home and business loan funds originating with HUD as HCD program funds because the City's direct contact and source for these funds is HCD.

Issue #1 (Ongoing): Borrowing of grant funds for the City's general operations. Between 2008 and 2018, the City experienced annual deficits in its General Fund, McDermont Sports Complex Fund and the Wellness Center Fund. In order to fund the overspending, the City borrowed grant funds from HCD programs through due to/from transactions at the end of each year. This was common practice between fiscal year 2003 and fiscal year 2009, but the transactions were not reversed, compounding and confusing the actual balances. In fiscal year 2015, the City reversed the transactions and established temporary transactions. These transactions were reversed each year, but the funds were not repaid. As a result, the cash balances in the General Fund, McDermont Sports Complex Fund and the Wellness Center Fund continued to increase in the negative direction. In 2017, the City formally recognized the borrowing by establishing advances to/from to account for the funds due to HCD programs. City Council approved the creation of the advances with a repayment schedule via Resolution 17-44 in 2017.

In 2018, the City Council amended the repayment schedule via Resolution 18-23. The City will repay \$2,060,000 (the entire amount borrowed from HCD programs) to HCD funds from the General Fund over a 10-year period beginning December 30, 2023. The City will pay an interest rate of 0.754%, which corresponds to the rate the City received from LAIF in 2017. The City did not have permission from HCD to borrow the funds for general purposes. Current administration, once it discovered the extent of the borrowing, shared the information with HCD. In 2019, the City and HCD have started exchanging legal documents in preparation for a negotiation.

16. NON-COMPLIANCE WITH GRANTS RULES AND REGULATIONS Continued

A. HUD, Continued

Issue #2 (Corrected): Home Loans to City employees and relatives of employees. Prior to May 2011, the City did not have a formal loan committee to review home and business loans thru the HCD program funding. During the administration that ended November 9, 2010, the City authorized home loans to some City employees and relatives of employees who did not qualify for the program. The following administration requested the District Attorney investigate to determine if there was criminal wrongdoing. The District Attorney found no criminal wrongdoing. The City then (1) created a loan committee to review each loan prior to funding and (2) contracted with Self Help Enterprises to manage the loan application process to ensure full transparency and program compliance. As a result, there have been no new issues. The City complies with all new related-party transactions. The City considers this matter to no longer be an issue. The reference to this past issue is contained in this disclosure note to give context to the reader.

Issue #3 (Ongoing): The City and HCD are currently negotiating a settlement for repayment of freeze relief funds. In 2005, the City experienced a devastating freeze, which damaged the orange crops that are vital to the economy. HCD sent the City freeze relief funds, which the City used to put people to work with permission from HCD. After the City spent the money as approved by HCD, HCD reversed its permission and demanded the City repay \$900,000 in the freeze relief funds. Due to a fire that destroyed important records associated with the use of the freeze relief funds and turnover at HCD, the City does not have a complete record to demonstrate its compliance with HCD authorized uses. The City is currently negotiating with representatives with HCD for the purpose of either vacating the demanded amount or reducing it to a manageable level. Because the City does not yet know the outcome of these negotiations, it cannot determine the potential financial impact on the City.

B. Caltrans

Issue #1 (Ongoing): Caltrans provides state funding for construction projects. The City did multiple projects in the 2000's. Caltrans alleges the City did not properly account for Downtown project and the Safe Routes to School project, and it demands repayment of approximately \$1,000,000. The City has been in negotiations with Caltrans. The City has learned a settlement offer from Caltrans is forthcoming. Without the settlement offer, the City is unable to predict the financial impact the negotiations with Caltrans will have on the City's future financial condition.

17. PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

The City recorded prior period adjustments to: (1) eliminate the previously reported net OPEB obligation and to recognize deferred outflows and inflows of resources and net OPEB liabilities; (2) to correct prior years error in calculation of Street Improvement Program revenue and return amount overallocated to the Water Fund, Sewer Fund and Refuse Fund; (3) to eliminate unearned revenue related to outstanding loans receivable principal; and (4) adjust the outstanding balance of the CalHFA RDLP loan as of June 30, 2017.

Government-wide Statements

| | | | | | P rio | r Period A | djustm | ents | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----|----------------|---|-------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------|----|----------|---------------|--|
| | Net Position as Previously Reported | Re | c e iva b le s | De fe rre d Outflows of Une a med Net OP EB In flows of s Resources Revenue Liability Resources | | | | Uneamed Net OPEB Inflows of | | | | | |
| Governmental Activities | l \$12,022,244 | \$ | (791,059) | \$ | - | \$ 14,31 | 8,938 | \$ | (84,837) | \$ | - | \$ 25,465,286 | |
| Business-type Activities | \$ 34,181,107 | \$ | 791,059 | \$ | 232 | \$ | _ | \$ | 705,963 | \$ | (10,774) | \$ 35,667,587 | |

Fund Financial Statements

| | | | | | P rio | r P e ric | od Adjustm | ents | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----|----------------|--------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|----------------|---|--------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Fund Balance as Previously Reported | Re | c e iva b le s | De fe Outflo Resor | ws of | | neamed evenue | Net O Lia b | | In flo | ferred ows of ources | and Balance as Restated |
| Governmen | tal Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | \$ (9,438,491) | \$ | (791,059) | \$ | _ | \$ | 733 | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ (10,228,817) |
| Community Development Fund | | \$ | - | \$ | _ | \$ 14 | ,294,615 | \$ | - | \$ | _ | \$ 16,471,297 |
| Nonmajor Governmenta Funds | 1 \$ 663,124 | \$ | | \$ | _ | \$ | 23,590 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ 686,714 |

17. PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS, Continued

| | | | | Prior Period | Adjustments | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | Net Position as Previously Reported | Receivables | Capital Assets | De ferre d Outflows of Resources | Uneamed Revenue | Ne t OP EB Lia b ility | De ferre d In flows of Resources | Net Position as Restated |
| Ente rp i | rise Funds | | | | | | | |
| Water Fund | \$ 7,872,533 | \$ 307,639 | \$ - | \$ 119 | \$ - | \$ (4,820) | \$ (5,557) | \$ 8,169,914 |
| Sewer Fund | \$ 4,027,866 | \$ 273,952 | <u>\$ -</u> | \$ 63 | <u>\$ -</u> | \$ (5,578) | \$ (2,913) | \$ 4,293,390 |
| Re fuse Fund | \$ 35,707 | \$ 209,468 | <u>\$ -</u> | \$ 19 | <u>\$</u> - | \$ (1,393) | \$ (896) | \$ 242,905 |
| Mc Derm Sports C Fund | ont Complex \$ 16,676,648 | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | \$ - | <u>\$</u> - | \$ 723,553 | <u>\$</u> - | \$ 17,400,201 |
| Wellness Center Fund | \$ 5,568,353 | <u>\$ -</u> | \$ - | \$ 31 | \$ - | \$ (5,799) | \$ (1,408) | \$ 5,561,177 |
| Fid u c ia | ry Funds | | | | | | | |
| Private - Trust Fund | Purpose \$ (12,130,530) | <u>\$ -</u> | \$ (10,000) | \$ - | \$ 1,411,636 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (10,728,894) |

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING

A. Budgetary Control and Budgetary Accounting

The City Council is required to adopt an annual budget resolution by July 1st of each fiscal year for the General Fund, special revenue, capital projects, debt service, and enterprise funds. These budgets are adopted and presented for reporting purposes on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles. The City did not adopt a budget for the Community Development Fund.

The appropriated budget is prepared by fund, function, and department. The legal level of budgetary control (i.e. the level at which expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations) is the department level. The City Council made several supplemental budgetary appropriations throughout the fiscal year.

Budgeted appropriations for various governmental funds become effective each July 1. The City Council may amend the budget during the fiscal year. Appropriations generally lapse at the end of the fiscal year to the extent they have not been expended or encumbered.

1. BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING, Continued

B. Budgetary Comparision Schedules

The following are the budget comparison schedules for the General Fund and Local Transporation Fund.

| | | Budgeted | Amou | ints | | Actual | Fir | iance with al Budget ?ositive |
|---|----|-------------|------|-------------|----|-------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| | | Original | | Final | | Amounts | () | Jegative) |
| REVENUES: | | | | | | | | |
| Property taxes | \$ | 357,100 | \$ | 357,100 | \$ | 345,700 | \$ | (11,400) |
| Other taxes | - | 4,538,400 | Ŧ | 4,538,400 | - | 4,843,089 | - | 304,689 |
| Licenses and permits | | 281,800 | | 281,800 | | 368,164 | | 86,364 |
| Intergovernmental | | 124,600 | | 124,600 | | 453,627 | | 329,027 |
| Charges for services | | 6,500 | | 6,500 | | 18,448 | | 11,948 |
| Fees and fines | | 157,100 | | 157,100 | | 159,316 | | 2,216 |
| Interest revenue | | 700 | | 700 | | 167 | | (533) |
| Other revenues | | 152,100 | | 152,100 | | 128,894 | | (23,206) |
| Total revenues | | 5,618,300 | | 5,618,300 | | 6,317,405 | | 699,105 |
| EXPENDITURES: | | | | | | | | |
| Current: | | | | | | | | |
| General Government | | 1,113,900 | | 1,113,900 | | 932,434 | | 181,466 |
| Public safety | | 2,472,600 | | 2,472,600 | | 2,519,454 | | (46,854) |
| Parks and recreation | | 208,500 | | 208,500 | | 171,510 | | 36,990 |
| Public works | | 568,000 | | 568,000 | | 557,190 | | 10,810 |
| Streets and transportation | | 152,100 | | 152,100 | | 258,226 | | (106,126) |
| Community development | | 50,300 | | 50,300 | | 35,456 | | 14,844 |
| Debt service: | | | | | | | | |
| Principal | | 18,419 | | 18,419 | | 18,415 | | 4 |
| Interest and administrative charges | | 46,381 | | 46,381 | | 32,889 | | 13,492 |
| Capital outlay | | 696,200 | | 696,200 | | 362,625 | | 333,575 |
| Total expenditures | | 5,326,400 | | 5,326,400 | | 4,888,199 | | 438,201 |
| REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES | | 291,900 | | 291,900 | | 1,429,206 | _ | 1,137,306 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): | | | | | | | | |
| Sale of land | | 9,300 | | 9,300 | | _ | | (9,300) |
| Proceeds from capital leases | | - | | - | | 2,100 | | 2,100 |
| Transfers in | | 2,856,000 | | 2,856,000 | | 4,698,183 | | 1,842,183 |
| Transfers out | | (1,387,900) | | (1,387,900) | | (1,568,408) | | (180,508) |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | 3,131,875 | | 1,477,400 | | 3,131,875 | | 1,654,475 |
| Net change in fund balances | \$ | 3,423,775 | \$ | 1,769,300 | | 4,561,081 | \$ | 2,791,781 |
| FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS): | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of year | | | | | | (9,438,491) | | |
| Prior period adjustments | | | | | | (790,326) | | |
| | | | | | ¢ | · · · · · | | |
| End of year | | | | | Þ | (5,667,736) | | |

1. BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING, Continued

B. Budgetary Comparision Schedules, Continued

| | | Budgeted | Amou | nts | | Actual | Fin | iance with al Budget Positive |
|--|----|-----------|------|-----------|----|------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| | 0 | Driginal | | Final | A | mounts | (N | Jegative) |
| REVENUES: | | | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental | \$ | 700,500 | \$ | 700,500 | \$ | 207,469 | \$ | (493,031) |
| Interest revenue | | 200 | | 200 | | 293 | | 93 |
| Total revenues | | 700,700 | | 700,700 | | 207,762 | | (492,938) |
| EXPENDITURES: | | | | | | | | |
| Current: | | | | | | | | |
| Streets and transportation | | 12,900 | | 12,900 | | 21,704 | | (8,804) |
| Debt service: | | | | | | | | (Ta a (b) |
| Principal Interest and administrative charges | | - | | - | | 72,964 48,478 | | (72,964) (48,478) |
| Ŭ | | - | | - | | | | · · · · · · |
| Total expenditures | | 12,900 | | 12,900 | | 143,146 | | (130,246) |
| REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES | | 687,800 | | 687,800 | | 64,616 | | (623,184) |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers out | | (500,000) | | (500,000) | | _ | | 500,000 |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | | | (500,000) | | | | 500,000 |
| Net change in fund balances | \$ | 687,800 | \$ | 187,800 | | 64,616 | \$ | (123,184) |
| FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS): | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of year | | | | | | 1,537,195 | | |
| End of year | | | | | \$ | 1,601,811 | | |

2. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

A. Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability - Last 10 Years

| Fiscal year: | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Measurement date | 6/30/2017 | 6/30/2016 | 6/30/2015 | 6/30/2014 |
| Proportion of the net pension liability | 0.09245% | 0.09544% | 0.09929% | 0.09999% |
| Proportionate share of the net pension liability | \$ 9,168,480 | \$ 8,258,639 | \$ 6,695,057 | \$ 6,216,207 |
| Covered payroll | \$ 2,907,772 | \$ 2,689,271 | \$ 2,799,950 | \$ 2,769,950 |
| Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll | 315.31% | 307.10% | 239.11% | 224.42% |
| Plan's share of fiduciary net position as a percentage of total net pension liability | 73.31% | 74.06% | 78.40% | 79.82% |

* Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore there are fewer than 10 years shown.

B. Schedule of Contributions - Last 10 Years

| Fiscal year: | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined) | \$ 1,021,760 | \$ 1,207,603 | \$ 726,038 | \$ 700,907 |
| Contractually in relation to the actuarially determined contributions | (1,021,760) | (1,207,603) | (726,038) | (700,907) |
| Contributions deficiency (excess) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | \$ |
| Covered payroll | 2,572,760 | 2,907,772 | 2,689,271 | 2,799,950 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll | 39.71% | 41.53% | 27.00% | 25.03% |

* Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore there are fewer than 10 years shown.

3. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

A. Schedule of Changes in the City's Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios

| Fiscal Year: | 2018 |
|---|-----------------|
| Changes in the year: | |
| Service cost | \$ 79,370 |
| Interest on the total pension liability | 52,405 |
| Differences between actual and expected experience | 1,127 |
| Changes in assumptions | (52,488) |
| Benefits paid to retirees | (31,041) |
| Net changes | 49,373 |
| Total OPEB Liability - beginning of the year | 1,391,268 |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of the year | \$ 1,440,641 |
| Covered employee payroll | 2,560,757 |
| City's total OPEB Liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll | 56.26% |

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

NON-MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Special Assessment Districts Fund account for the activities of the special assessment housing districts in the City. Property owners in these districts pay additional property tax to fund special landscaping and other services in the district.

Gas Tax Fund accounts for transportation funding from the State's gas tax. It includes funds for construction, maintenance, engineering, congestion relief and road rehabilitation. The City uses Gas Tax funds on streets and transportation projects.

Transit Fund accounts for revenues from bus fare token. The City does not operate the bus system in Lindsay, but does sell bus fare tokens. Transit Funds are used in conjunction with transit projects.

CAPITAL PROJECT FUND

Curb and Gutter Fund is part of Sewer Fund. It accounts for funds for some street and sidewalk repairs.

City of Lindsay Combining Balance Sheet Non-Major Governmental Funds June 30, 2018

| | | | Spec | ial Revenue | | | Capi | tal Projects | | |
|---|----|----------------------------------|------|-------------|----|---------|------|-------------------|-----------|---|
| | As | Special sessment Districts | (| Gas Tax | - | Fransit | | urb and Gutter | No Gov | Total on-Major ernmental Funds |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net | \$ | 68,560 | \$ | 758,341 | \$ | 7,238 | \$ | 1,914 2,698 | \$ | 836,053 2,698 |
| Due from other governments Notes receivable | | 9,502 - | | 27,519 | | - | | - 10,204 | | 37,021 10,204 |
| Total assets | \$ | 78,062 | \$ | 785,860 | \$ | 7,238 | \$ | 14,816 | \$ | 885,976 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts and other payables | | 3,718 | | 9,432 | | - | | - | | 13,150 |
| Accrued wages | | - | | 4,780 | | - | | - | | 4,780 |
| Total liabilities | | 3,718 | | 14,212 | | | | _ | | 17,930 |
| Fund Balances: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Restricted | | 74,344 | | 771,648 | | 7,238 | | 14,816 | | 868,046 |
| Total fund balances | | 74,344 | | 771,648 | | 7,238 | | 14,816 | | 868,046 |
| Total liabilities | | | | | | | | | | |
| and fund balances | \$ | 78,062 | \$ | 785,860 | \$ | 7,238 | \$ | 14,816 | \$ | 885,976 |

City of Lindsay Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Non-Major Governmental Funds For the year ended June 30, 2018

| | | | Specia | al Revenue | | | Capita | al Projects | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|--------|------------|----|----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---|
| | Ass | pecial essment istricts | G | as Tax |] | ransit | | rb and Gutter | No Gov | Total on-Major ernmental Funds |
| REVENUES: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other taxes | \$ | 85,771 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 85,771 |
| Intergovernmental Fees and fines | | - | | 481,256 | | - 102 | | - | | 481,256 102 |
| Interest revenue | | - | | 488 | | 102 | | - | | 488 |
| Total revenues | | 85,771 | | 481,744 | | 102 | | - | | 567,617 |
| EXPENDITURES: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current: | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Government | | 82,328 | | - | | - | | - | | 82,328 |
| Streets and transportation | | - | | 280,900 | | - | | - | | 280,900 |
| Capital outlay | | - | | 15,995 | | - | | - | | 15,995 |
| Total expenditures | | 82,328 | _ | 296,895 | _ | - | | - | | 379,223 |
| REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES | | 3,443 | | 184,849 | | 102 | | _ | | 188,394 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers in | | 27,938 | | - | | - | | - | | 27,938 |
| Transfers out | | - | | - | | - | | (35,000) | | (35,000) |
| Total other financing sources (uses) | | 27,938 | | | | - | | (35,000) | | (7,062) |
| Net change in fund balances | | 31,381 | | 184,849 | | 102 | | (35,000) | | 181,332 |
| FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of year | | 42,963 | | 586,799 | | 7,136 | | 26,226 | | 663,124 |
| Prior period adjustments | | - | | - | | - | | 23 <i>,</i> 590 | | 23,590 |
| End of year | \$ | 74,344 | \$ | 771,648 | \$ | 7,238 | \$ | 14,816 | \$ | 868,046 |



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Lindsay Lindsay, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Lindsay, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated April 17, 2019.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described as items 2018-001 to 2018-003 in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be material weaknesses.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described as item 2018-004 in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be a significant deficiency.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Lindsay Lindsay, California Page 2

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2018-002.

City's Response to Findings

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Jadavie & Associate

Badawi & Associates, CPAs Oakland, California April 17, 2019

FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

2018-001 – Deficit Fund Balance in the General Fund and the Ability of the City to Continue as a Going Concern (Material Weakness)

Criteria:

General purpose local governments, regardless of size, at a minimum should maintain a fund balance in the general fund of either 1) no less than 5 to 15 percent of regular general fund operating revenues, or 2) no less than 1 to 2 months of regular general fund operating expenditures.

Condition:

The General Fund had a deficit fund balance of \$(5,667,736) as of June 30, 2018. The General Fund owed internally to the Community Development Fund in the amount of \$2,583,360. These interfund loans were made so that the General Fund could sustain its basic operations.

The McDermont Sports Complex Fund and Wellness Center Fund continue to experience operating losses. Operating losses for the year ended June 30, 2018 for the McDermont Sports Complex Fund and Wellness Center Fund were \$(892,024) and \$(512,123).

The multiple afore mentioned conditions have raised substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern, as described further in Note 16.

Cause:

The City has either overspent its budget in the past or the budget was not balanced which led to the overspending and the deficit fund balance situation.

Effect:

Deficiency in fund balances and decrease in revenues have created a budget shortfall that will require significant cost cutting measures to reach a budget that will recoup the negative fund balance as well as provide the necessary revenues and financing for continued operations of the City.

Recommendation:

We recommend the City continue to analyze all potential cost cutting measures and revenue sources, and review options to establish a budget plan for the General Fund and other funds to recoup the deficit fund balance as well as provide continued financing for City operations.

Management Response:

The City agrees with and has been consistently and relentlessly acting on this recommendation. Actions taken in FY 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 are making a difference in 2018-2019 and will in upcoming years. Steps along the revenue recovery path include: (1) full implementation of Measure O, which is now generating monthly revenue; (2) City Council approving the manufacturing of cannabis in the heavy industrial area; (3) City Council considering retail cannabis locations; (4) the settling of a frivolous lawsuit that impeded general retail development; and (5) the City management team investigating additional revenue opportunities.

While addressing revenue needs, the City simultaneously conducted extensive cost cutting actions in 2017-2018, including the outsourcing of the management and operations of the McDermont Sports Complex on January 1, 2018, which saves the City all expenses above debt service and structural maintenance for the facility. The City is controlling its expenses. The City reduced the general fund deficit by nearly 50% in 2017-2018. The City is moving toward fiscal sustainability. It will take time to recover from the deficit it faces. Management anticipates this finding to continue until the City realizes the full benefit of all of its changes and finishes negotiating with other government entities to reduce the demands on the City.

2018-002 -Noncompliance with Laws, Regulations, Contract and Grants (Material Weakness)

Criteria:

Restricted resources such as grant funds and utility rate payer monies restricted by California Proposition 218 are to be used only for their legally intended purpose. In addition, any borrowings by other funds from these restricted resources are usually not allowed unless it is very short term in nature and if it does occur, it should be recognized by the City and a repayment plan should be put in place.

Condition:

During our audit we noted that there were several incidents of non-compliance as follow:

- Borrowing of grant funds for the City's general operations. Between 2008 and 2017, the City experienced annual deficits in its General Fund, McDermont Sports Complex Fund and the Wellness Center Fund. In order to fund the overspending, the City borrowed grant funds from Housing and Community Development (HCD) programs which amounted to \$2,583,360 as of June 30, 2018.
- Prior to 2011, the City authorized home loans through the HCD program to some City employees and relatives of employees who did not qualify for the program. The loans amounted to \$1,008,741 as of June 30, 2018.
- Prior to 2011, HCD grant funds not spent in accordance with grant agreement. The State believe that the City spent \$900,000 not in accordance with the grant guidelines.
- Prior to 2011, The City did not properly account for Downtown project and the Safe Routes to School project funded by Caltrans for approximately \$1,000,000.
- Prior to July 1, 2017, the City's General Fund had borrowed against utility funds that are restricted by Proposition 218 to be used to cover the cost of providing such utility services and benefit the rate payer. The City subsequently formalized such borrowing by approving interfund loan agreements that specified repayment terms for each borrowing. The City now has subsequently passed a resolution forgiving these loans, and is now noncompliant with Proposition 218. In effect, the restricted rate payer moneys were used to pay for activities other than their intended purposes.

Cause:

The City has violated Proposition 218 and several grant agreements to cover its operating deficits.

Effect:

The effect cannot be determined at this point as the City is in discussion with the granting agencies to resolve the matter and it is undeterminable whether lawsuits will be filed to recover the rate payer monies. It is likely that the City will have to refund some of the funds back to the granting agencies.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City institute controls to ensure compliance with laws and regulation and to settle the outstanding issues with the granting agencies.

Management Response:

The City agrees with the recommendation. The City is actively working to settle the outstanding issues with the granting agencies. The City has implemented practices and policies to prevent noncompliance since the time of these issues, many of which are from the late 2000's and 2011. The City anticipates this finding to continue until the City and the various granting agencies are able to settle each issue. The City understands this recovery process may take years to complete.

2018-003 - Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements (Material Weakness)

Criteria:

The City is responsible for the fair presentation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Condition:

The City recorded prior period adjustments to correct various accounting errors such as error in calculation of Street Improvement Program revenue, elimination of unearned revenue related to outstanding loans receivable principal, and to adjust outstanding loan balances.

Cause:

The City's internal controls over financial reporting did not identify the misstatements in a timely manner resulting in the restatement.

Context and Effect:

The City's previously issued financial statements were not fairly stated in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City enhance its internal control over financial reporting to ensure complete and accurate financial reporting. The City can accomplish this by expanding its year-end closing procedures to ensure that all non-routine and nonsystematic transactions are accounted for, the appropriate accounting standards are applied, and transactions are accounted for in the proper period.

Management Response:

The City agrees with the recommendation. The City has demonstrated a willingness to self-identify and correct errors it finds to ensure its financial reporting is accurate. It will continue to improve its processes to ensure ongoing compliance.

2018-004 - Control Over Accounts Receivable (Significant Deficiency)

Criteria:

A good internal control system over accounts receivable requires that certain reports such as aging accounts receivable be produced and reviewed to track delinquent account and to ensure all receivables are valid and collectible.

Condition:

During our audit we noted that the City was unable to produce an aging accounts receivable report that could be matched to the general ledger.

Cause:

The accounting system does not enable the City to generate an aging accounts receivable report that matches to the general ledger.

Effect:

Accounts receivable could be misstated and the City's ability to track delinquent accounts may be impacted.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City develop procedures to generate an aging accounts receivable report that matches with the general ledger.

Management Response:

As noted above, a limitation in the City's accounting software does not allow it to produce the required report from its utility billing system. The City is analyzing budgeting options to identify funding to upgrade and update its system to alleviate this issue.

PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

2017-001 – Deficit Fund Balance in the General Fund, McDermont Sports Complex Fund and the Wellness Center Fund and the Ability of the City to Continue as a Going Concern (Material Weakness)

Criteria:

General purpose local governments, regardless of size, at a minimum should maintain a fund balance in the general fund of either 1) no less than 5 to 15 percent of regular general fund operating revenues, or 2) no less than 1 to 2 months of regular general fund operating expenditures.

Condition:

The General Fund had a deficit fund balance of \$(9,438,491) as of June 30, 2017. The General Fund owed internally to the Community Development Fund, Local Transportation Fund, the Water Fund, the Sewer Fund and the Refuse Fund in the amounts of \$2,078,356, \$1,440,000, \$1,582,000, \$1,582,000 and \$140,000, respectively. These interfund loans were made so that the General Fund could sustain its basic operations.

The McDermont Sports Complex Fund had a negative unrestricted net position of \$(1,347,981) as of June 30, 2017. In addition, the fund continues to experience operating losses. Operating losses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 was \$1,131,188.

The Wellness Center Fund had a negative unrestricted net position balance of \$(829,457) as of June 30, 2017. In addition, the fund continues to experience operating losses. Operating losses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 was \$322,971.

The multiple afore mentioned conditions have raised substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern, as described further in Note 16.

Cause:

The City has either overspend its budget in the past or the budget was not balanced which led to the overspending and the deficit fund balance situation.

Effect:

Deficiency in fund balances and decrease in revenues have created a budget shortfall that will require significant cost cutting measures to reach a budget that will recoup the negative fund balance as well as provide the necessary revenues and financing for continued operations of the City.

Recommendation:

We recommend the City continue to analyze all potential cost cutting measures and revenue sources, and review options to establish a budget plan for the General Fund and other funds to recoup the deficit fund balance as well as provide continued financing for City operations.

Management Response:

In the past, the City did not maintain sufficient controls on spending. The audit findings are accurate in representing the financial deficits in the General Fund, McDermont Sports Complex Fund and the Wellness Center Fund. The City agrees with the finding and the recommendations. In 2017, the City Council recognized the severity of its financial condition by declaring a fiscal emergency and pursuing a sales tax revenue measure. The successful passing of Measure O brings needed revenue to the City going forward. The City also implemented cost-saving measures by reducing staffing and outsourcing the management of McDermont Sports Complex. The City established advances to / from with repayment schedules to manage the repayment of the monies borrowed from funds listed in this finding. Management is making every effort to live within its means, to repay borrowed funds and to build a reserve for the future.

Status:

Not implemented, see 2018-001.

2017-002 -Non-Compliance with Laws, Regulations, Contract and Grants (Material Weakness)

Criteria:

Restricted resources such as grant funds are to be used only for their legally intended purpose. In addition, any borrowings by other funds from these restricted resources are usually not allowed unless it is very short term in nature and if it does occur, it should be recognized by the City and a repayment plan should be put in place.

Condition:

During our audit we noted that there were several incidents of non-compliance as follow:

- Borrowing of grant funds for the City's general operations. Between 2008 and 2017, the City experienced annual deficits in its general fund, McDermont Field House and the Wellness & Aquatic Center. In order to fund the overspending, the City borrowed grant funds from Housing and Community Development (HCD) programs which amounted to \$2,078,356 as of June 30, 2017.
- Prior to 2011, the City authorized home loans through the HCD program to some City employees and relatives of employees who did not qualify for the program. The loans amounted to \$1,008,741 as of June 30, 2017.
- Prior to 2011, HCD grant funds not spent in accordance with grant agreement. The State believe that the City spent \$900,000 not in accordance with the grant guidelines.
- Prior to 2011, The City did not properly account for Downtown project and the Safe Routes to School project funded by Caltrans for approximately \$1,000,000.

Cause:

The City has violated several grant agreements to cover its operating deficits.

Effect:

The effect cannot be determined at this point as the City is in discussion with the granting agencies to resolve the matter. It is likely that the City will have to refund some of the funds back to the granting agencies.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City institute controls to ensure compliance with laws and regulation and to settle the outstanding issues with the granting agencies.

Management Response:

This finding has two parts: (1) borrowing program income funds to cover operating deficits and (2) spending funds not in accordance with grant agreements. It is important to note most of these have been addressed in prior audits.

Borrowing grant funds:

In the past, the City did borrow program income funds (not the same as grant funds) for the City's general operations. During the fiscal year 2017-2018, the City recognized the extent of the borrowing program income and formally adopted a repayment plan. These program income funds are first in line for repayment.

Spending funds:

The City is in on-going discussions/negotiations with HCD and Caltrans. The City expects a settlement with both in fiscal year 2018-2019. The City disputes the amount to HCD as HCD approved the way the City spent the grant funds at the time of use. In later years, HCD retroactively reversed its approval, which placed the City in a state of non-compliance. To compound the problem, many important timecards associated with the approved-then-years-later-denied use of grant funds were lost in a fire at the Proteus offices. The City is working to finally resolve the issue with HCD.

The Caltrans issue is currently in negotiations. The City has implemented policies and procedures that have proved effective since these issues arose years ago.

The issue with the home loans goes back to the 2000's, has been thoroughly investigated by the district attorney and has been discussed with the granting agency. Neither the district attorney nor the granting agency determined there was need for corrective action. This issue is more than a decade old. The City no longer operates the home loan programs as it did when two executives controlled the lending decisions. The City now uses a loan committee to decide whether to approve an application. Until the City stopped lending, Self Help Enterprises provided the loan preparation process, which helped the City maintain a very transparent operation. The City has already taking all the necessary steps to ensure the loan program operates as intended. The City is in compliance with the lending standards and requirements now.

Status:

Not implemented, see 2018-002.

2017-003 - Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

Criteria:

The City is responsible for the fair presentation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Condition:

The City recorded prior period adjustments to correct various accounting errors such as allocation of pension amounts between governmental and business type activities, move cash to the correct funds, accrue wages and correct some accounts. A summary of all prior period adjustments can be found in the table below

Cause:

The City's internal controls over financial reporting did not identify the misstatements in a timely manner resulting in the restatement.

Context and Effect:

The City's previously issued financial statements were not fairly stated in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City enhance its internal control over financial reporting to ensure complete and accurate financial reporting. The City can accomplish this by expanding its year-end closing procedures to ensure that all non-routine and nonsystematic transactions are accounted for, the appropriate accounting standards are applied, and transactions are accounted for in the proper period.

Management Response:

The City has been working to correct issues from the past. The City is expanding its year-end closing procedures to ensure all non-routine and non-systematic transactions are accounted for in the proper periods.

Status:

Not implemented, see 2018-003.

2017-004 - Recordkeeping of Capital Assets (Significant Deficiency)

Criteria:

A good internal control system over capital assets requires that City maintain detail records of all its capital assets to be able to support amounts reported on the financial statements and to ensuring safeguarding of assets and timely payment on long-term debt.

Condition:

We noted during the audit that the City did not maintain adequate records for capital assets to enable it to exercise control over those activities. Instead, the City is relying on loosely maintained spreadsheets that did not include detail of certain asset classes such as machinery and equipment and infrastructure.

Cause:

The City did not keep accurate and complete records of its capital assets.

Effect:

Capital assets may be misstated and/or not properly or timely accounted for.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City implement a tracking system and to conduct an inventory of its capital assets.

Management Response:

The City used to have a capital asset management system, which functioned only on an older version of the Microsoft Windows Operating System. Regrettably, the system was lost a few years ago along with the records. The City has unsuccessfully attempted to recreate the records. The City plans to conduct a capital assets inventory audit in fiscal year 2018-2019 to manage capital assets in the future.

Status:

Implemented.

2017-005 - Control Over Expenditures and Accounts Payable (Significant Deficiency)

Criteria:

A good internal control system over expenditures and accounts payable requires that certain tasks such as creating new vendors, inputting invoices, and processing checks be segregated and not performed by the same individual. In addition, changes to the master file should be reviewed and reconciled by a qualified individual independent from the accounts payable process.

Condition:

During our audit we noted that the Accounts Payable Clerk is responsible for setting up new vendors in the system. There is no independent review of changes made to the vendor master files. In addition, we noted that the reviewer of the accounts payable do not keep track of the sequence numbers of the checks reviewed

Cause:

Duties in the accounts payable system is not properly segregated to ensure good checks and balances are in place.

Effect:

Errors in accounts payable may not be detected timely. In addition, unauthorized payments to vendors or payments to unauthorized vendors may not be detected timely.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City improve and strengthen its procedures for review and reconciliation to ensure that changes to the vendor master files are properly reviewed and reconciled by an independent person and there is adequate segregation of duties in place.

Management Response:

The City appreciates the auditor's thoroughness in reviewing its accounts payable operations and systems. The City will adjust its procedures relative to control over expenditures and accounts payable to ensure the appropriate segregation of duties.

Status:

Implemented.

2017-006 - Control over Payroll and Related Liabilities (Significant Deficiency)

Criteria:

A good internal control system over payroll requires that certain tasks such as creating new employees, inputting pay rates, and processing payroll be segregated and not performed by the same individual. In addition, payroll should be reviewed and reconciled by a qualified individual independent from the payroll process.

Condition:

During our audit we noted that there was mainly one person who was responsible for setting up new employees, making and updating all changes in the payroll system as well as processing payroll.

Cause:

Duties in the payroll system is not properly segregated to ensure good checks and balances are in place.

Effect:

Payroll amounts may be misstated due to errors not detected during the review process. In addition, incorrect or unauthorized pay rates may be used and not detected timely.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City improve and strengthen its procedures for review and reconciliation to ensure that payroll is properly reviewed and reconciled by an independent person and there is adequate segregation of duties in place.

Management Response:

The City appreciates the auditor's thoroughness in reviewing its payroll operations. The City divided duties in fiscal year 2017-2018 between payroll processing and the human resources department. Human Resources is responsible for entering all pay and position changes in the payroll processing system. Finance enters the hours and generates the payroll checks/direct deposits. By segregating the duties, the City has rectified this significant deficiency.

Status:

Implemented.

2017-007 - Control Over Accounts Receivable (Significant Deficiency)

Criteria:

A good internal control system over accounts receivable requires that certain reports such as aging accounts receivable be produced and reviewed to track delinquent account and to ensure all receivables are valid and collectible.

Condition:

During our audit we noted that the City was unable to produce an aging accounts receivable reports.

Cause:

The accounting system does not enable the City to generate a report for a date in the past and the City did not generate those reports on the last day of the fiscal year.

Effect:

Accounts receivable could be misstated and the City's ability to track delinquent accounts may be impacted.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City improve and strengthen its procedures for review and reconciliation of accounts receivable and to ensure that an aging accounts receivable reports is produced at least quarterly and reviewed and reconciled to the accounting records.

Management Response:

The City agrees with the need to generate static reports on an annual-if-not-quarterly basis. Changing the process indeed will improve and strengthen the procedures, which will allow for more timely and valuable reconciliation of the accounts receivable. The City will make the changes at the end of fiscal year 2017-2018.

Status:

Not implemented, see 2018-004.